

**SAN JOAQUIN AREA FLOOD CONTROL AGENCY
CONSULTING SERVICES FOR DESIGN AND PERMITTING (SJAFCA-RFP-25-01)
and CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT (SJAFCA-RFP-25-02)
OF THE MOSSDALE URBAN FLOOD RISK REDUCTION PROJECT**

RFP Question and Response Log

Question		SJAFCA Response	Solicitation
1.	Is the cost proposal in a sealed envelope due to SJAFCA on April 28, 2025?	Yes. The delivery of the cost proposal will be the proposer's responsibility.	CM
2.	Can SJAFCA please clarify how to submit the cost proposal? The RFP states to submit proposals electronically but to submit cost proposals in a separate sealed envelope.	Please submit cost proposals to SJAFCA in a sealed envelope to: Mr. Glenn Prasad San Joaquin Area Flood Control Agency 2800 W March Ln, Suite 200 Stockton, CA 95219 An electronic copy of the proposal should be submitted via email (in PDF format), signed by an authorized representative, and be delivered on or before 3:00 pm on Monday, April 28, 2025 to: Glenn.Prasad@sjafca.org	CM
3.	The RFP mentions a Non-Mandatory RFP Meeting. Can SJAFCA please provide information on how to attend the Non-Mandatory RFP Meeting scheduled for April 9th?	Design and Permitting Non-Mandatory RFP meeting information was emailed in the form of an addendum to pre-qualified consultants on April 2, 2025. Construction Management Non-Mandatory RFP meeting information was emailed as Addendum 1 to the RFP and was posted by San Joaquin County on April 2, 2025 at https://www.sjgov.org/departments/pwk/rfps-and-rfqs/current-solicitations . Both meetings were held on April 7, 2025.	CM
4.	Can a project milestone schedule be made available?	The project milestone schedule was presented during the non-mandatory RFP meetings on April 7, 2025. Project Milestones: Finalized CEQA: Q4 2025 Preliminary Design: Q4 2025 Final Design: Q4 2025 through 2026 Permitting: 2026 Real Estate Acquisition: 2026 Contracting: Q1 2027 Construction Phase 1: 2027 Construction Phase 2: 2028 Financial Closeout: Q1 and 2, 2029	CM

5.	Can SJAFCA please clarify if the transmittal letter is part of the 15 page limit?	<p>Please see section 4. Proposal Guidelines in the RFP.</p> <p>“The proposal shall not exceed fifteen (15) single-sided 8.5 by 11-inch pages. Each page must be numbered. The 15-page limit applies to all content with the following exceptions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) an optional table of contents (not to exceed one page). (2) the cover or any section dividers. (3) cost proposal and associated consultant rate sheets; and, (4) key personnel resumes. All resumes shall be limited to two (2) pages in length.” 	CM
6.	Can the Primary Project be an expansion of one of the 3 reference projects, or is this a separate project, making a total of 4 projects sited in the RFP?	Yes. The primary reference project can be one of the 3 reference projects.	CM
7.	<p>Since the County is requesting additional information in the SOQ (below) would you consider allowing more than 20 pages?</p> <p>“The SOQ shall include two sections, one for levee improvement design and one for habitat restoration design qualification. Each section shall include the topics in the order listed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Firm Experience: • Team Experience: • Similar Projects: Provide a description of three similar reference projects that proposed key personnel have completed within the last seven years. • Primary Reference Project” 	<p>The question references “County” and SJAFCA wishes to clarify that this solicitation is not from the County, but from SJAFCA, which is a separate entity.</p> <p>SJAFCA is clarifying that the habitat restoration qualifications can be in addition to the 20 pages, but no more than 25 pages total.</p>	CM

8.	Is the County able to provide preliminary 35% plans?	Yes. Addendum 2 has been emailed to the Design and Permitting Pre-qualified list and posted on the County's website at https://www.sjgov.org/departments/pwk/rfps-and-rfqs/current-solicitations providing a link to the 35% design, plans, specifications, and cost estimates.	Both
9.	Would the County consider extending the due date for this proposal by a week or 10 days?	Yes. Addendum 2 has been emailed to the Design and Permitting Pre-qualified list and posted on the County's website at https://www.sjgov.org/departments/pwk/rfps-and-rfqs/current-solicitations	CM
10.	Please send the Design and Permitting Presentation Slides and Attendee List	The attendee list can be sent to those firms who are interested in this information. No slides will be shared.	CM
11.	Will responses to questions on the RFP be provided prior to the response date of April 16?	No.	CM
12.	Exhibit A - Master Services Agreement has a Term completion date of June 30, 2026. Is this the completion date that should be considered for the cost proposal?	The consultant services will not be completed by June 30, 2026. CM services during design are anticipated to occur from July 2025 through December 2026. CM services for bidding and construction are anticipated to occur from January 2027 through December 2028.	CM
13.	The Design Phase tasks state that the consultant will be responsible to "Determine potential borrow sites for levee material". Is it SJAFCA's expectation that CM consultants will be responsible for <u>providing input</u> to the design team to support determination of potential borrow sites for levee material? Will this input include material testing?	It is anticipated that the Design and Permitting team will provide the testing necessary for the potential borrow sites. The CM may be requested to peer review the test results. As part of their services, the CM firm shall identify a lab and coordinate materials testing during construction of the project.	CM
14.	Can SJAFCA please clarify if the primary reference project needs to be 100%	The reference project does not need to be 100% completed but needs to demonstrate the proposers' qualifications and experience as requested in the RFP.	CM

	complete at time of proposal submission?		
15.	The proposal submission requirements instruct proposers to submit an electronic copy via email signed by an authorized representative. Can SJAFCA please clarify how to sign the proposal? Would an electronic signature included in the transmittal letter of an authorized representative be acceptable?	Electronic signature will be acceptable.	CM
16.	Can SJAFCA please clarify if there is a specific email title/subject line for the email submission?	There is no requirement. Please ensure the title is meaningful and you may use "SJAFCA-RFP-25-02" within the title.	CM
17.	The Introduction section of the RFP states, "The CONSULTANT shall provide any exceptions to the Master Service Agreement with the proposal, and no further changes will be considered by SJAFCA during contract negotiations." If proposers have comments to the Master Services Agreement provided, may these comments be excluded from the proposal page count?	Yes, the comments to the MSA will be excluded in the page count.	CM
18.	Will the 30% plans be made available?	Addendum 2 has been emailed to the Design and Permitting Pre-qualified list and posted on the County's website providing a link to the 35% design, plans, specifications, and cost estimates.	Both
19.	If made available – would SJAFCA consider extending the proposal due date by 2 weeks to allow for review?	Yes.	Design and Permitting
20.	When will the EIR be finalized?	The tentative schedule for the EIR to be finalized is the beginning of 2026.	Design and Permitting

21.	Will biological and cultural coverage be required for the geotechnical investigation (study, reporting, monitoring)? If yes - will there be coverage by the NTP? Will the selected firm be required to provide coverage?	Geotechnical surveys to support final design are the responsibility of the consultant. Associated environmental and cultural monitoring, consultations and associated environmental disclosure documents are the responsibility of the consultant.	Design and Permitting
22.	Will SJAFCA be utilizing the San Joaquin MSHCP for this project?	The environmental phase is still being complete. This information has not been finalized.	Design and Permitting
23.	Has SJAFCA identified the permits that will be required, and can this be disclosed?	The proposing team is expected to be familiar with the permitting requirements on this type of project. The full list of permitting requirements will be developed by the proposing team and will be informed through the CEQA documents.	Design and Permitting
24.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the USACE's involvement in this project considered an undertaking that would require compliance with Section 106? Will a 408 permit and DPP be required for the work done on the existing RD 17 levee? If yes – will those permit conditions extend to the new dryland levee? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Mossdale UFRR project is a state and local effort. The design and permitting team will inform the permitting approach. The design and permitting team will inform the permitting approach. The design and permitting team will inform the permitting approach. 	Design and permitting
25.	Have the borrow sites been fully characterized?	No, this will be done by the design and permitting team.	Design and permitting
26.	Will new unidentified borrow sites need to be located and characterized?	Yes.	Design and permitting
27.	Have Phase 1 and Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessment's (ESA) been done on the parcels that are	No.	Design and permitting

	<p>shown on the 30% plans and the potential borrow sites?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If not, who will be doing the Phase 1 and Phase 2 ESA's if needed? • If contamination is discovered, will remediation of that contamination be included in the scope of this design and subsequent construction contract? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Phase 1 and Phase 2 assessments have not been completed. SJAFCA will retain others to perform this work. • If contamination is discovered which results in additional scope, SJAFCA will negotiate and amend the consultant's scope. SJAFCA is unable to comment on the amendment to the subsequent construction contract at this time. 	
28.	<p>What is the relationship of this project to the USACE project?</p>	<p>The Lower San Joaquin River Lathrop and Manteca, CA Feasibility Study is a joint effort between USACE, DWR CVFPB and SJAFCA. This study will determine federal interest in the Mossdale UFRF Project.</p>	<p>Design and Permitting</p>
29.	<p>On page 11, Section 4.5 Scope of Services, the RFP states <i>"The CONSULTANT is expected to address 100% of the requirements in their Scope of Services section. Proposals must include a comprehensive plan that covers all project requirements, including those not explicitly detailed in the RFP, and clearly outline any assumptions or additional elements necessary to meet the full scope of work"</i>.</p> <p>Please clarify whether this requires respondents to provide an approach and scope of services for ecosystem/habitat restoration noting that in Section 4.6 the ecosystem restoration portion shall not</p>	<p>The consultant is not required to provide an approach and scope of services for ecosystem / restoration. Please see Section 3.1 RELEVANT INFORMATION: "The CONSULTANT shall only provide qualifications for the habitat restoration portion of the Project."</p>	<p>Design and Permitting</p>

	be included in the cost proposal.		
--	-----------------------------------	--	--