



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY

2021 Community Strategic Priorities Survey

Topline Report

n=583\

20 minutes

Hybrid: Phone & Online

Universe: San Joaquin Registered Voters and
Non-voters from County File

January 11, 2022

www.godberesearch.com

Northern California and Corporate Offices
1220 Howard Avenue, Suite 250
Burlingame, CA 94010

Nevada
59 Damonte Ranch Parkway, Suite B309
Reno, NV 89521

METHODOLOGY

Sample Universe:

- 558,389 Adults 18+
- 376,959 Registered Voters

Sample Size:

- n=583 Adults 18+
- n=415 Registered Voters

Data Collection Methodology:

- n=65 Landline
- n=88 Cell
- n=369 Online from text invitation
- n=61 Online from email invitation

Margin of Error:

- Adults 18+ (± 4.06%)
- Registered Voters (± 4.81%)

Interview Dates: November 29 to December 7, 2021

OVERALL SATISFACTION

		Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
1. Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the job San Joaquin County is doing to address the COVID-19 crisis in your area?	Very Favorable	20.2%		18.9%	
	Somewhat Favorable	40.5%		41.0%	
	Somewhat Unfavorable	13.0%		10.9%	
	Very Unfavorable	8.1%		7.4%	
	DK/NA	18.2%		21.7%	
	Total Favorable	60.6%		59.9%	
	Total Unfavorable	21.2%		18.4%	
	Ratio Fav to Unfav	2.87		3.26	
2. Generally speaking are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the quality of life in San Joaquin County?	Very satisfied	17.9%		18.0%	
	Somewhat satisfied	43.2%		42.7%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25.4%		25.2%	
	Very dissatisfied	13.1%		13.5%	
	DK/NA	0.4%		0.5%	
	Total Satisfied	61.2%		60.7%	
	Total Dissatisfied	38.5%		38.7%	
	Ratio Sat to Dissat	1.59		1.57	
3. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job San Joaquin County is doing to provide County services?	Very satisfied	11.9%		12.8%	
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%		38.2%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	21.7%		22.1%	
	Very dissatisfied	10.8%		10.5%	
	DK/NA	15.7%		16.4%	
	Total Satisfied	51.8%		50.9%	
	Total Dissatisfied	32.5%		32.6%	
	Ratio Sat to Dissat	1.59		1.56	
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job San Joaquin County is doing to manage public funds?	Very satisfied	6.3%		5.4%	
	Somewhat satisfied	28.3%		25.9%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23.7%		23.8%	
	Very dissatisfied	16.2%		16.0%	
	DK/NA	25.4%		28.9%	
	Total Satisfied	34.7%		31.3%	
	Total Dissatisfied	39.9%		39.8%	
	Ratio Sat to Dissat	0.87		0.79	

STRATEGIC PRIORITIES

		Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
5A. Sheriff's services	Extremely Important	36.4%	74.7%	37.2%	76.3%
	Very Important	38.3%		39.1%	
	Somewhat Important	19.6%		18.1%	
	Not too Important	3.3%		2.6%	
	Not at all Important	0.0%		0.0%	
	DK/NA	2.5%		2.9%	
5B. Fire prevention and firefighting services	Extremely Important	48.1%	88.0%	46.9%	87.6%
	Very Important	39.9%		40.7%	
	Somewhat Important	10.9%		10.8%	
	Not too Important	0.2%		0.3%	
	Not at all Important	0.0%		0.0%	
	DK/NA	0.9%		1.3%	
5C. Long term financial stability	Extremely Important	45.0%	87.6%	44.4%	86.6%
	Very Important	42.6%		42.2%	
	Somewhat Important	9.1%		9.4%	
	Not too Important	1.2%		1.1%	
	Not at all Important	0.0%		0.0%	
	DK/NA	2.1%		2.9%	
5D. Economic development	Extremely Important	37.9%	81.4%	36.9%	79.6%
	Very Important	43.5%		42.7%	
	Somewhat Important	15.2%		17.0%	
	Not too Important	2.5%		2.2%	
	Not at all Important	0.0%		0.0%	
	DK/NA	0.8%		1.2%	
5E. Water storage, quality and sustainability	Extremely Important	60.9%	91.7%	58.0%	91.4%
	Very Important	30.7%		33.5%	
	Somewhat Important	5.7%		5.7%	
	Not too Important	1.1%		1.6%	
	Not at all Important	0.4%		0.0%	
	DK/NA	1.2%		1.3%	
5F. Addressing homelessness	Extremely Important	61.8%	89.3%	59.4%	85.9%
	Very Important	27.5%		26.5%	
	Somewhat Important	8.2%		11.3%	
	Not too Important	1.0%		1.3%	
	Not at all Important	0.5%		0.7%	
	DK/NA	0.9%		0.8%	
5G. Good governance	Extremely Important	46.5%	86.7%	45.1%	85.8%
	Very Important	40.2%		40.8%	
	Somewhat Important	10.2%		10.0%	
	Not too Important	0.7%		0.9%	
	Not at all Important	0.5%		0.8%	
	DK/NA	1.8%		2.4%	

STRATEGIC PRIORITIES -- RANKED BY INTENSITY SCORE

	Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
5E. Water storage, quality and sustainability		3.53		3.50
5F. Addressing homelessness		3.51		3.44
5B. Fire prevention and firefighting services		3.37		3.36
5C. Long term financial stability		3.34		3.34
5G. Good governance		3.34		3.32
5D. Economic development		3.18		3.16
5A. Sheriff's services		3.11		3.14

IMPORTANCE OF COUNTY SERVICES, PROGRAMS & FACILITIES

		Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
6A. Neighborhood police patrols	Extremely Important	37.8%	74.7%	37.7%	76.3%
	Very Important	36.9%		38.6%	
	Somewhat Important	17.3%		16.7%	
	Not too Important	5.6%		4.2%	
	Not at all Important	0.7%		0.4%	
	DK/NA	1.7%		2.4%	
6B. Keeping local fire stations open	Extremely Important	49.8%	91.9%	50.2%	90.5%
	Very Important	42.1%		40.3%	
	Somewhat Important	7.1%		8.2%	
	Not too Important	0.6%		0.8%	
	Not at all Important	0.0%		0.0%	
	DK/NA	0.4%		0.5%	
6C. Women's support services	Extremely Important	38.3%	78.5%	34.6%	75.7%
	Very Important	40.2%		41.1%	
	Somewhat Important	16.3%		17.2%	
	Not too Important	4.1%		5.3%	
	Not at all Important	0.3%		0.5%	
	DK/NA	0.8%		1.3%	
6D. Creating enterprise zones to help expand local businesses	Extremely Important	26.5%	57.9%	26.2%	56.6%
	Very Important	31.3%		30.5%	
	Somewhat Important	30.5%		27.9%	
	Not too Important	5.6%		7.0%	
	Not at all Important	0.4%		0.7%	
	DK/NA	5.7%		7.8%	
6E. Agricultural preservation and sustainability	Extremely Important	41.1%	84.9%	40.5%	84.0%
	Very Important	43.8%		43.5%	
	Somewhat Important	12.4%		13.2%	
	Not too Important	1.4%		1.0%	
	Not at all Important	0.0%		0.0%	
	DK/NA	1.4%		1.8%	
6F. Emergency homeless shelters	Extremely Important	47.2%	81.0%	42.2%	76.5%
	Very Important	33.7%		34.3%	
	Somewhat Important	14.6%		17.8%	
	Not too Important	3.2%		4.0%	
	Not at all Important	0.7%		1.0%	
	DK/NA	0.5%		0.7%	
6G. Park and recreation facilities	Extremely Important	26.4%	71.5%	26.5%	68.9%
	Very Important	45.1%		42.4%	
	Somewhat Important	24.2%		25.9%	
	Not too Important	2.8%		3.4%	
	Not at all Important	0.0%		0.0%	
	DK/NA	1.4%		1.9%	
6H. Senior services	Extremely Important	41.6%	84.4%	41.5%	81.5%
	Very Important	42.8%		40.0%	
	Somewhat Important	13.0%		14.8%	
	Not too Important	2.0%		2.7%	
	Not at all Important	0.1%		0.2%	
	DK/NA	0.5%		0.8%	

		Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
6I. Library programs and services	Extremely Important	27.1%	67.3%	28.1%	69.7%
	Very Important	40.2%		41.6%	
	Somewhat Important	26.4%		23.5%	
	Not too Important	5.0%		5.0%	
	Not at all Important	0.1%		0.2%	
	DK/NA	1.3%		1.6%	
6J. Traffic on major County streets	Extremely Important	33.2%	73.2%	31.5%	72.0%
	Very Important	40.0%		40.5%	
	Somewhat Important	21.8%		22.1%	
	Not too Important	3.2%		3.9%	
	Not at all Important	0.5%		0.7%	
	DK/NA	1.2%		1.3%	
6K. Job and career training for evolving industries	Extremely Important	34.3%	76.6%	34.2%	76.4%
	Very Important	42.3%		42.2%	
	Somewhat Important	15.6%		15.3%	
	Not too Important	4.7%		5.4%	
	Not at all Important	0.1%		0.2%	
	DK/NA	2.9%		2.7%	
6L. Delta environmental protection	Extremely Important	38.4%	77.9%	35.6%	75.5%
	Very Important	39.5%		39.8%	
	Somewhat Important	16.2%		17.3%	
	Not too Important	1.8%		1.8%	
	Not at all Important	0.6%		0.9%	
	DK/NA	3.5%		4.5%	
6M. Public transportation for commuters between cities	Extremely Important	33.0%	66.2%	31.3%	64.7%
	Very Important	33.3%		33.4%	
	Somewhat Important	24.9%		24.1%	
	Not too Important	7.2%		8.8%	
	Not at all Important	0.6%		0.8%	
	DK/NA	1.1%		1.6%	
6N. Preserving open space	Extremely Important	28.8%	64.4%	27.7%	66.5%
	Very Important	35.6%		38.8%	
	Somewhat Important	24.9%		22.5%	
	Not too Important	6.2%		4.9%	
	Not at all Important	0.5%		0.8%	
	DK/NA	3.9%		5.3%	
6O. Crime and gang prevention programs	Extremely Important	56.2%	92.8%	55.8%	91.9%
	Very Important	36.6%		36.2%	
	Somewhat Important	5.3%		5.9%	
	Not too Important	1.3%		1.3%	
	Not at all Important	0.0%		0.0%	
	DK/NA	0.6%		0.9%	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Extremely Important	29.1%	71.0%	27.7%	67.3%
	Very Important	41.9%		39.6%	
	Somewhat Important	21.3%		23.2%	
	Not too Important	5.3%		6.1%	
	Not at all Important	0.4%		0.6%	
	DK/NA	2.1%		2.8%	

		Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
6Q. Social services	Extremely Important	38.7%	78.0%	34.4%	75.4%
	Very Important	39.3%		41.0%	
	Somewhat Important	17.7%		20.4%	
	Not too Important	2.6%		1.7%	
	Not at all Important	0.3%		0.4%	
	DK/NA	1.4%		2.1%	
6R. After-school programs	Extremely Important	40.3%	80.0%	38.7%	79.2%
	Very Important	39.7%		40.5%	
	Somewhat Important	15.7%		15.7%	
	Not too Important	2.5%		2.6%	
	Not at all Important	0.3%		0.4%	
	DK/NA	1.6%		2.2%	
6S. Early childhood education programs	Extremely Important	42.5%	80.0%	39.8%	77.1%
	Very Important	37.6%		37.4%	
	Somewhat Important	13.9%		15.5%	
	Not too Important	5.6%		6.6%	
	Not at all Important	0.0%		0.0%	
	DK/NA	0.5%		0.7%	
6T. Public transportation within cities	Extremely Important	28.4%	66.8%	29.2%	66.3%
	Very Important	38.4%		37.2%	
	Somewhat Important	26.7%		26.3%	
	Not too Important	5.4%		5.8%	
	Not at all Important	0.6%		0.8%	
	DK/NA	0.5%		0.7%	
6U. Crisis response unit for non-violent 9-1-1 calls	Extremely Important	37.5%	78.9%	35.0%	77.2%
	Very Important	41.4%		42.2%	
	Somewhat Important	15.9%		16.7%	
	Not too Important	3.4%		4.0%	
	Not at all Important	0.1%		0.2%	
	DK/NA	1.6%		1.9%	
6V. Mental health treatment for low-income and homeless residents	Extremely Important	49.4%	83.4%	46.2%	81.9%
	Very Important	34.0%		35.7%	
	Somewhat Important	11.6%		12.7%	
	Not too Important	3.1%		4.2%	
	Not at all Important	0.2%		0.3%	
	DK/NA	1.7%		0.9%	
6W. Providing housing for local homeless persons	Extremely Important	44.3%	75.7%	36.6%	69.5%
	Very Important	31.4%		32.9%	
	Somewhat Important	15.6%		19.1%	
	Not too Important	6.2%		7.8%	
	Not at all Important	0.5%		0.8%	
	DK/NA	2.0%		2.8%	
6X. Street and road maintenance	Extremely Important	42.8%	86.2%	41.9%	84.4%
	Very Important	43.4%		42.5%	
	Somewhat Important	13.5%		15.2%	
	Not too Important	0.0%		0.0%	
	Not at all Important	0.0%		0.0%	
	DK/NA	0.2%		0.4%	

		Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
6Y. Addressing climate change	Extremely Important	39.4%	68.4%	35.2%	62.3%
	Very Important	29.0%		27.2%	
	Somewhat Important	15.2%		17.1%	
	Not too Important	11.5%		14.1%	
	Not at all Important	1.9%		2.2%	
	DK/NA	3.0%		4.2%	
6Z. COVID vaccination, testing, and treatment	Extremely Important	46.8%	78.1%	41.0%	72.8%
	Very Important	31.2%		31.8%	
	Somewhat Important	12.9%		15.0%	
	Not too Important	5.6%		7.7%	
	Not at all Important	1.2%		1.7%	
	DK/NA	2.2%		2.7%	
6AA. Preventing illegal dumping of garbage and human waste	Extremely Important	58.0%	88.2%	57.9%	90.8%
	Very Important	30.3%		32.9%	
	Somewhat Important	9.8%		7.2%	
	Not too Important	1.1%		1.0%	
	Not at all Important	0.1%		0.2%	
	DK/NA	0.7%		0.8%	
6BB. Creating affordable workforce housing	Extremely Important	39.9%	76.3%	35.2%	71.3%
	Very Important	36.4%		36.1%	
	Somewhat Important	15.4%		18.1%	
	Not too Important	5.1%		6.2%	
	Not at all Important	0.6%		0.8%	
	DK/NA	2.6%		3.6%	
6CC. Ensuring a living wage	Extremely Important	52.9%	82.1%	50.8%	78.5%
	Very Important	29.2%		27.7%	
	Somewhat Important	11.9%		14.2%	
	Not too Important	4.9%		5.6%	
	Not at all Important	0.4%		0.6%	
	DK/NA	0.8%		1.1%	
6DD. Water conservation	Extremely Important	50.6%	87.2%	49.2%	85.8%
	Very Important	36.6%		36.6%	
	Somewhat Important	10.3%		11.3%	
	Not too Important	1.4%		1.6%	
	Not at all Important	0.0%		0.0%	
	DK/NA	1.0%		1.3%	

IMP. OF COUNTY SERVICES, PROGRAMS & FACILITIES -- BY INTENSITY

	Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
6O. Crime and gang prevention programs		3.49		3.48
6AA. Preventing illegal dumping of garbage and human waste		3.46		3.48
6B. Keeping local fire stations open		3.42		3.41
6DD. Water conservation		3.38		3.35
6V. Mental health treatment for low-income and homeless residents		3.32		3.24
6CC. Ensuring a living wage		3.30		3.24
6X. Street and road maintenance		3.29		3.27
6E. Agricultural preservation and sustainability		3.26		3.26
6H. Senior services		3.24		3.21
6F. Emergency homeless shelters		3.24		3.13
6Z. COVID vaccination, testing, and treatment		3.20		3.05
6R. After-school programs		3.19		3.17
6S. Early childhood education programs		3.17		3.11
6L. Delta environmental protection		3.17		3.13
6Q. Social services		3.15		3.10
6W. Providing housing for local homeless persons		3.15		3.00
6U. Crisis response unit for non-violent 9-1-1 calls		3.15		3.10
6C. Women's support services		3.13		3.05
6BB. Creating affordable workforce housing		3.13		3.02
6K. Job and career training for evolving industries		3.09		3.08
6A. Neighborhood police patrols		3.07		3.12
6J. Traffic on major County streets		3.04		3.00
6G. Park and recreation facilities		2.96		2.94
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		2.96		2.90
6Y. Addressing climate change		2.95		2.82
6M. Public transportation for commuters between cities		2.92		2.87
6I. Library programs and services		2.90		2.94
6N. Preserving open space		2.90		2.92
6T. Public transportation within cities		2.89		2.89
6D. Creating enterprise zones to help expand local businesses		2.83		2.81

SATISFACTION WITH COUNTY SERVICES, PROGRAMS & FACILITIES

		Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
7A. Neighborhood police patrols	Very satisfied	12.3%		13.8%	
	Somewhat satisfied	32.1%		31.6%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23.8%		24.5%	
	Very dissatisfied	18.2%		17.4%	
	DK/NA	13.7%		12.7%	
7B. Keeping local fire stations open	Very satisfied	22.5%		24.8%	
	Somewhat satisfied	48.5%		45.0%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9.9%		9.3%	
	Very dissatisfied	3.0%		2.6%	
	DK/NA	16.1%		18.3%	
7C. Women's support services	Very satisfied	6.1%		6.0%	
	Somewhat satisfied	37.1%		36.7%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13.8%		13.8%	
	Very dissatisfied	10.1%		10.2%	
	DK/NA	32.9%		33.2%	
7D. Creating enterprise zones to help expand local businesses	Very satisfied	5.1%		6.7%	
	Somewhat satisfied	29.3%		30.4%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18.8%		17.9%	
	Very dissatisfied	7.4%		7.7%	
	DK/NA	39.3%		37.2%	
7E. Agricultural preservation and sustainability	Very satisfied	6.6%		8.4%	
	Somewhat satisfied	37.9%		36.4%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18.0%		18.7%	
	Very dissatisfied	9.0%		9.8%	
	DK/NA	28.6%		26.7%	
7F. Emergency homeless shelters	Very satisfied	5.2%		6.4%	
	Somewhat satisfied	16.4%		17.0%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	20.7%		20.3%	
	Very dissatisfied	35.7%		34.0%	
	DK/NA	22.0%		22.3%	
7G. Park and recreation facilities	Very satisfied	12.7%		13.8%	
	Somewhat satisfied	45.8%		46.1%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	21.1%		17.8%	
	Very dissatisfied	7.9%		9.1%	
	DK/NA	12.4%		13.2%	
7H. Senior services	Very satisfied	8.3%		8.9%	
	Somewhat satisfied	34.8%		33.8%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18.6%		19.0%	
	Very dissatisfied	9.6%		9.4%	
	DK/NA	28.7%		28.9%	
7I. Library programs and services	Very satisfied	13.3%		14.2%	
	Somewhat satisfied	42.7%		38.6%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13.7%		14.1%	
	Very dissatisfied	5.1%		5.7%	
	DK/NA	25.1%		27.4%	
7J. Traffic on major County streets	Very satisfied	6.5%		6.9%	
	Somewhat satisfied	36.3%		36.1%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	29.0%		28.1%	
	Very dissatisfied	16.6%		18.1%	
	DK/NA	11.6%		10.9%	

		Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
7K. Job and career training for evolving industries	Very satisfied	5.0%		7.1%	
	Somewhat satisfied	24.8%		23.7%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24.0%		23.3%	
	Very dissatisfied	14.5%		13.2%	
	DK/NA	31.7%		32.7%	
7L. Delta environmental protection	Very satisfied	5.4%		6.4%	
	Somewhat satisfied	32.4%		34.1%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	21.5%		21.4%	
	Very dissatisfied	10.5%		8.2%	
	DK/NA	30.2%		29.9%	
7M. Public transportation for commuters between cities	Very satisfied	7.7%		9.5%	
	Somewhat satisfied	32.3%		30.6%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16.3%		17.2%	
	Very dissatisfied	14.8%		13.5%	
	DK/NA	28.9%		29.2%	
7N. Preserving open space	Very satisfied	9.3%		9.7%	
	Somewhat satisfied	34.6%		31.4%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19.7%		23.1%	
	Very dissatisfied	12.2%		11.3%	
	DK/NA	24.2%		24.5%	
7O. Crime and gang prevention programs	Very satisfied	5.4%		6.0%	
	Somewhat satisfied	24.4%		22.3%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	27.7%		27.9%	
	Very dissatisfied	26.1%		27.5%	
	DK/NA	16.5%		16.3%	
7P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	5.1%		6.8%	
	Somewhat satisfied	34.3%		30.8%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18.2%		17.5%	
	Very dissatisfied	13.2%		14.3%	
	DK/NA	29.2%		30.6%	
7Q. Social services	Very satisfied	5.8%		7.1%	
	Somewhat satisfied	37.7%		36.8%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24.7%		21.6%	
	Very dissatisfied	9.2%		11.4%	
	DK/NA	22.6%		23.0%	
7R. After-school programs	Very satisfied	8.5%		11.1%	
	Somewhat satisfied	31.5%		31.5%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	17.7%		15.2%	
	Very dissatisfied	12.6%		14.6%	
	DK/NA	29.6%		27.7%	
7S. Early childhood education programs	Very satisfied	8.1%		10.2%	
	Somewhat satisfied	32.1%		31.2%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	17.9%		17.3%	
	Very dissatisfied	12.2%		12.7%	
	DK/NA	29.7%		28.5%	
7T. Public transportation within cities	Very satisfied	9.3%		11.6%	
	Somewhat satisfied	34.9%		32.4%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	17.4%		16.7%	
	Very dissatisfied	13.6%		14.3%	
	DK/NA	24.7%		25.0%	

		Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
7U. Crisis response unit for non-violent 9-1-1 calls	Very satisfied	6.7%		8.6%	
	Somewhat satisfied	31.4%		33.8%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19.6%		15.1%	
	Very dissatisfied	12.3%		13.7%	
	DK/NA	30.0%		28.8%	
7V. Mental health treatment for low-income and homeless residents	Very satisfied	3.7%		4.5%	
	Somewhat satisfied	17.9%		18.1%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23.4%		22.8%	
	Very dissatisfied	30.0%		29.3%	
	DK/NA	24.9%		25.3%	
7W. Providing housing for local homeless persons	Very satisfied	4.1%		5.0%	
	Somewhat satisfied	13.7%		14.0%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	21.0%		22.0%	
	Very dissatisfied	42.2%		40.0%	
	DK/NA	19.1%		18.9%	
7X. Street and road maintenance	Very satisfied	8.2%		9.1%	
	Somewhat satisfied	35.7%		34.7%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	27.6%		26.7%	
	Very dissatisfied	22.9%		23.6%	
	DK/NA	5.4%		5.8%	
7Y. Addressing climate change	Very satisfied	7.8%		10.1%	
	Somewhat satisfied	29.4%		29.1%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19.4%		16.0%	
	Very dissatisfied	16.3%		16.7%	
	DK/NA	27.1%		28.0%	
7Z. COVID vaccination, testing, and treatment	Very satisfied	27.7%		28.4%	
	Somewhat satisfied	42.9%		40.3%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.7%		14.5%	
	Very dissatisfied	6.2%		6.6%	
	DK/NA	8.5%		10.2%	
7AA. Preventing illegal dumping of garbage and human waste	Very satisfied	5.0%		4.9%	
	Somewhat satisfied	17.4%		17.0%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26.5%		28.1%	
	Very dissatisfied	37.6%		37.3%	
	DK/NA	13.6%		12.8%	
7BB. Creating affordable workforce housing	Very satisfied	5.8%		6.8%	
	Somewhat satisfied	20.0%		19.7%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23.0%		22.6%	
	Very dissatisfied	26.4%		23.4%	
	DK/NA	24.8%		27.4%	
7CC. Ensuring a living wage	Very satisfied	7.0%		7.8%	
	Somewhat satisfied	28.8%		29.5%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18.1%		19.3%	
	Very dissatisfied	29.5%		25.6%	
	DK/NA	16.6%		17.8%	
7DD. Water conservation	Very satisfied	8.5%		10.4%	
	Somewhat satisfied	38.7%		38.0%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26.8%		26.7%	
	Very dissatisfied	10.7%		9.3%	
	DK/NA	15.3%		15.7%	

SAT. W/ COUNTY SERVICES, PROGRAMS & FACILITIES -- BY INTENSITY

	Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
	Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
7B. Keeping local fire stations open		0.92		0.98
7Z. COVID vaccination, testing, and treatment		0.78		0.77
7I. Library programs and services		0.61		0.57
7G. Park and recreation facilities		0.39		0.44
7C. Women's support services		0.23		0.22
7E. Agricultural preservation and sustainability		0.21		0.20
7H. Senior services		0.19		0.19
7N. Preserving open space		0.12		0.07
7T. Public transportation within cities		0.12		0.14
7D. Creating enterprise zones to help expand local businesses		0.10		0.17
7DD. Water conservation		0.09		0.16
7S. Early childhood education programs		0.09		0.12
7Q. Social services		0.08		0.09
7R. After-school programs		0.08		0.13
7M. Public transportation for commuters between cities		0.02		0.07
7U. Crisis response unit for non-violent 9-1-1 calls		0.01		0.12
7L. Delta environmental protection		0.01		0.13
7P. Environmental and sustainability programs		0.00		-0.02
7A. Neighborhood police patrols		-0.04		0.00
7Y. Addressing climate change		-0.10		0.00
7J. Traffic on major County streets		-0.15		-0.16
7X. Street and road maintenance		-0.23		-0.22
7K. Job and career training for evolving industries		-0.27		-0.17
7CC. Ensuring a living wage		-0.41		-0.31
7O. Crime and gang prevention programs		-0.54		-0.58
7BB. Creating affordable workforce housing		-0.59		-0.50
7V. Mental health treatment for low-income and homeless residents		-0.77		-0.73
7F. Emergency homeless shelters		-0.84		-0.75
7AA. Preventing illegal dumping of garbage and human waste		-0.86		-0.87
7W. Providing housing for local homeless persons		-1.03		-0.96

		Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
8. With respect to the list of services, programs, initiatives, or facilities we have been considering, what are two that most impact your community?	Homelessness / Homeless	39.4%		37.3%	
	Police / Community policing	9.6%		9.1%	
	Crime	9.5%		9.6%	
	Affordable housing / Rent control	7.3%		7.0%	
	Mental health services	6.0%		6.3%	
	Living wage / Cost of living	5.9%		3.7%	
	Water conservation	5.4%		5.8%	
	Infrastructure / Roads	4.7%		6.2%	
	Education / School funding	4.2%		4.7%	
	Senior support	3.4%		3.4%	
	Transportation	3.0%		2.7%	
	Cleanliness	2.6%		3.5%	
	Jobs	2.6%		3.5%	
	Fire station	2.0%		2.7%	
	Covid-19	2.0%		1.6%	
	More parks / Recreation areas	1.9%		2.0%	
	Traffic	1.8%		2.0%	
	Safety	1.5%		2.0%	
	Childcare	1.5%		0.9%	
	Environmental concerns	1.4%		1.4%	
	New businesses	1.1%		1.4%	
	Economy	1.0%		0.7%	
	State and city services	1.0%		0.6%	
	Healthcare	0.6%		0.8%	
	City government	0.6%		0.1%	
	Climate change	0.4%		0.5%	
	Cost of living	0.3%		0.2%	
	Library	0.2%		0.2%	
	Walkways / Sidewalks	0.1%		0.1%	
	Blackouts / Power outages	0.1%		0.0%	
	Parking	0.0%		0.0%	
None	0.4%		0.6%		
Other	0.2%		0.3%		
Don't Know	25.5%		25.6%		

		Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
9. If you could enhance an existing program or propose a new program, what would you use the potential one time funding for?	Homelessness / Homeless	28.2%		27.5%	
	Affordable housing / Rent control	10.8%		8.4%	
	Education / School funding	7.8%		8.0%	
	Mental health services	5.2%		3.9%	
	Police / Community policing	5.0%		6.0%	
	Infrastructure / Roads	4.6%		3.8%	
	Crime	3.6%		4.3%	
	Water conservation	3.6%		4.7%	
	More parks / Recreation areas	3.5%		4.4%	
	Jobs	3.4%		4.2%	
	Childcare	2.5%		1.1%	
	Living wage / Cost of living	2.3%		1.5%	
	Cleanliness	2.1%		2.9%	
	State and city services	2.0%		1.8%	
	Senior support	2.0%		1.3%	
	Transportation / BART extension	1.5%		1.6%	
	Healthcare	1.4%		1.8%	
	Covid-19	1.2%		1.0%	
	Environmental concerns	1.2%		1.4%	
	Traffic	1.0%		1.0%	
	Safety	0.8%		1.0%	
	Economy	0.8%		0.0%	
	New businesses	0.7%		0.2%	
	Climate change	0.6%		0.4%	
	Fire station	0.4%		0.6%	
	Social services	0.3%		0.5%	
	Cost of living	0.2%		0.3%	
	Library	0.1%		0.0%	
	Parking	0.0%		0.0%	
	Walkways / Sidewalks	0.0%		0.0%	
	Blackouts / Power outages	0.0%		0.0%	
	City government	0.0%		0.0%	
None	0.7%		1.1%		
Other	0.0%		0.0%		
Don't Know	25.1%		26.7%		

POLICY & FUNDING TRADE-OFFS

		Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
10. For each set of options which one is closer to your own view?	OPINION A: Additional funding should be provided to traditional sheriff and policing, patrol and crime prevention <u>servic</u>	26.9%		30.8%	
	OPINION B: Additional funding should be provided for increasing mental health and social service support to the <u>communit</u>	49.5%		46.8%	
	Mixed opinions	18.9%		16.6%	
	Neither	2.0%		2.0%	
	DK/NA	2.7%		3.7%	
11. For each set of options which one is closer to your own view?	OPINION C: The County's policy should be to support a broad range of new housing and commercial development <u>projects</u>	21.1%		20.8%	
	OPINION D: The County's policy should be to support the preservation of our agricultural land, water supply, and other agriculture <u>related services</u>	43.3%		44.2%	
	Mixed opinions	27.1%		26.9%	
	Neither	4.8%		4.4%	
	DK/NA	3.7%		3.6%	
12. For each set of options which one is closer to your own view?	OPINION E: Additional funding should be provided to programs and services for women and <u>children</u>	44.3%		40.8%	
	OPINION F: Additional funding should be provided to traditional sheriff and policing, patrol and crime prevention <u>servic</u>	30.1%		33.0%	
	Mixed opinions	17.9%		19.4%	
	Neither	5.9%		4.1%	
	DK/NA	1.8%		2.6%	

DEMOGRAPHICS (ASKED OF RESPONDENTS)

		Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
A. What gender group do you consider yourself a part of or identify with?	Male	47.7%		48.6%	
	Female	51.1%		49.9%	
	Non-binary	0.8%		1.2%	
	Other	0.4%		0.4%	
B. What is your age?	18 to 29	21.1%		24.7%	
	30 to 39	17.4%		18.1%	
	40 to 49	16.5%		16.6%	
	50 to 64	24.7%		20.0%	
	65 and over	17.4%		16.9%	
	DK/NA	2.9%		3.8%	
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	59.9%		59.5%	
	Rent	30.8%		30.9%	
	Other	7.3%		7.3%	
	DK/NA	2.1%		2.3%	
D. Home Zip Code	see detailed crosstabs				
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or identify with?	African American or Black	6.2%		6.3%	
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4%		0.5%	
	Asian	14.7%		12.5%	
	Caucasian or White	29.8%		29.0%	
	Latinx / Latino / Hispanic	39.5%		41.0%	
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0.9%		1.2%	
	Two or more races	4.1%		5.1%	
	Other (Please specify: _____)	1.4%		1.1%	
DK/NA	3.0%		3.3%		
F. Are you:	Employed full-time	53.2%		55.3%	
	Employed part-time	10.4%		8.2%	
	Retired	20.6%		19.7%	
	Student	4.5%		4.7%	
	Don't work outside of the home	3.0%		4.3%	
	Other (Please specify: _____)	6.1%		5.3%	
	DK/NA	2.1%		2.5%	
G. What was your household income in 2020?	\$0 to \$24,999	11.0%		11.7%	
	\$25,000 to \$49,999	14.4%		10.8%	
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	17.7%		20.5%	
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	13.1%		13.1%	
	\$100,000 to \$124,999	8.6%		9.3%	
	\$125,000 to \$149,999	6.0%		6.1%	
	\$150,000 to \$174,999	2.5%		2.4%	
	\$175,000 to \$199,999	2.8%		2.0%	
	\$200,000 or more	4.2%		4.1%	
	Refused	19.8%		20.1%	

DEMOGRAPHICS FROM VOTER FILE

		Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
H. Gender	Male			47.1%	
	Female			52.9%	
	Unknown			0.0%	
I. Age	18-29			23.5%	
	30-39			17.3%	
	40-49			15.6%	
	50-64			23.4%	
	65+			20.2%	
	Not coded			0.0%	
J. Broad Ethnic Groupings	East and South Asian			8.2%	
	European			40.3%	
	Hispanic and Portuguese			36.7%	
	Likely African-American			3.7%	
	Other			4.0%	
K. Marital Status	Single or Unknown			64.4%	
	Married			25.4%	
	Non-Traditional			10.2%	
L. Homeownership Status	Owner			45.9%	
	Renter			23.9%	
	Unknown			30.2%	
M. Estimated Income Range	\$1,000-\$14,999			4.1%	
	\$15,000-\$24,999			2.2%	
	\$25,000-\$34,999			6.4%	
	\$35,000-\$49,999			10.5%	
	\$50,000-\$74,999			19.5%	
	\$75,000-\$99,999			20.1%	
	\$100,000-\$124,999			13.7%	
	\$125,000-\$149,999			6.9%	
	\$150,000-\$174,999			6.8%	
	\$175,000-\$199,999			1.6%	
	\$200,000-\$249,999			2.9%	
	\$250,000 and up			4.3%	
	Unknown			0.9%	
N. Estimated Home Value Range	\$0K to \$19K			0.0%	
	\$20K to \$49K			0.0%	
	\$50K to \$99K			0.1%	
	\$100K to \$149K			2.6%	
	\$150K to \$174K			3.7%	
	\$175K to \$199K			1.8%	
	\$200K to \$249K			5.1%	
	\$250K to \$299K			15.4%	
	\$300K to \$399K			25.6%	
	\$400K to \$499K			20.4%	
	\$500K to \$749K			21.5%	
	\$750K to \$999K			2.6%	
	\$1000K to 1M and over			0.8%	
Unknown			0.4%		

		Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
O. Social Economic Ladder	0			12.1%	
	1			9.9%	
	2			12.3%	
	3			18.2%	
	4			16.6%	
	5			18.6%	
	6			8.0%	
	7			1.2%	
	9			1.4%	
	Unknown			1.7%	
P. Supervisorial District	.00			0.0%	
	District 1			15.6%	
	District 2			18.9%	
	District 3			21.4%	
	District 4			20.5%	
	District 5			23.7%	
Q. City	.00			0.0%	
	Escalon			1.3%	
	Lathrop			3.5%	
	Lodi			8.6%	
	Manteca			8.7%	
	Ripon			1.7%	
	Stockton			40.4%	
	Tracy			11.2%	
Unincorporated			24.7%		
R. Individual Party	American Independent			4.8%	
	Democratic			44.1%	
	Green			0.0%	
	Libertarian			0.9%	
	Natural Law			0.0%	
	Non-Partisan			21.0%	
	Other			0.0%	
	Peace and Freedom			0.1%	
	Reform			0.0%	
	Republican			27.4%	
	Unknown			1.8%	
No data			0.0%		
S. Household Party Type	Dem			32.4%	
	Dem&Ind			16.3%	
	Dem&Rep			6.5%	
	Dem&Rep&Ind			2.7%	
	Ind			14.6%	
	Rep			18.3%	
	Rep&Ind			9.2%	
	No data			0.0%	
T. Household Gender Composition	Mixed Gender Household			52.6%	
	Female Only Household			25.8%	
	Male Only Household			19.6%	
	Cannot Determine			1.9%	
	No data			0.0%	

		Adults 18+		Registered Voters	
		Column N %	Mean	Column N %	Mean
U. Registration Date	2021			16.6%	
	2017-2020			61.4%	
	2013-2016			9.1%	
	2009-2012			3.0%	
	2005-2008			0.6%	
	2001-2004			1.4%	
	1997-2000			2.4%	
	1993-1996			1.6%	
	1981-1992			1.8%	
	1980 or before			1.8%	
	Not coded			0.0%	
V. Voting frequency	0			7.8%	
	1			20.3%	
	2			9.0%	
	3			10.6%	
	4			7.8%	
	5			7.0%	
	6			5.2%	
	7			5.4%	
	8			8.4%	
	9			6.6%	
	10			11.9%	
X. Household Voter Count	1			35.2%	
	2			40.2%	
	3			19.3%	
	4			4.4%	
	5			0.9%	