

AGRICULTURAL CROP REPORT



COUNTY
OF
SAN JOAQUIN



1950



SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY

Department of Agriculture

AUSTIN E. MAHONEY
AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER

1868 EAST HAZELTON AVENUE
STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA

POST OFFICE BOX 1809
TELEPHONE 6-6806

TO THE STATE DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE AND
THE HONORABLE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Section 65.5 of the California Agricultural Code requires that the Agricultural Commissioner compile a report covering conditions, acreage, production, and value of the agricultural products of his county, and Section 65 requires that the Agricultural Commissioner keep a record of his official acts and make an annual report to the Director of Agriculture on the conditions of the agricultural interests in his county as to what is being done to control pests and also as to quarantines against pests. This is the seventeenth annual report published by this Department.

Approximately one hundred commercial crops are covered in this report, and for your easy reference they are segregated as to their commercial use wherever possible.

Acreages of permanent crops are reported in actual bearing acreage only, and other crops are reported in actual planted acreage. Production is reported in units commonly used in the marketing of crops commercially in this county. Prices are reported on an F.O.B. basis. Cost of production, harvesting, packing, and other handling costs should be deducted to arrive at a true farm value.

A copies of this report are sent to a number of persons in other states, to federal, state, and county agencies throughout the United States, and to an increasing number of organizations and individuals within the state, the members of this Department have made every effort to make this report as accurate as possible by checking our figures with every known source of reliable information.

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to all who have assisted my inspectors and deputies by furnishing necessary information to them which has made the compilation of this report possible.

Respectfully submitted,



AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER

1/1/51

CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| ADMINISTRATIVE AND STAFF PERSONNEL | 1 |
| AGRICULTURAL CROP REPORT | 30 |
| APIARY | 25 |
| BIRD AND RODENT CONTROL | 17 |
| FAIRS AND EXHIBITS | 25 |
| FINANCIAL REPORT SUMMARY | 29 |
| HOUSEHOLD AND GARDEN PESTS | 14 |
| MARKET ENFORCEMENT | 26 |
| MISCELLANEOUS ACTIVITIES | 27 |
| NURSERY INSPECTION | 6 |
| ORCHARD AND FIELD INSPECTION | 7 |
| PEST CONTROL OPERATORS | 12 |
| PLANT DISEASE AND INSECT SURVEY | 4 |
| PLANT QUARANTINE AND CERTIFICATION | 2 |
| SEED CERTIFICATION | 24 |
| SEED INSPECTION | 22 |
| STANDARDIZATION OF FRUITS, NUTS, VEGETABLES, AND EGGS | 14 |
| WEED CONTROL | 18 |
| WINERIES - GRAPES FOR BY-PRODUCTS | 16 |

ADMINISTRATIVE AND STAFF PERSONNEL

Stockton Office Hazelton & B Streets Stockton 6-6806

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Austin E. Mahoney | Agricultural Commissioner |
| Lester R. Brumbaugh | Chief Deputy Commissioner |
| Lloyd V. Braghetta | Deputy Commissioner |
| Mark A. Huberty | Deputy Commissioner |
| Elna Benjamin | Bookkeeper & Stenographer |
| Ralph A. Burlington | Linden District & Standardization |
| Thomas E. Cheatham | Weed Control |
| Forrest H. Darby | Quarantine & Standardization |
| Floyd W. Hutchings | Entomology and Plant Pathology |
| Kenneth W. Jones | Quarantine Certification & Stockton Office |
| Ray Mahoney | Seed Cert. & Seed Inspection |
| Elmer T. Pahl | Eggs, Fair Exhibit and Seed Inspection |
| John R. Solari | Farmington District |
| Jay Stewart | Robert Island District |
| D. V. Widney | Warehouse |

Lodi Office Lodi City Hall Lodi 261

| | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| George J. Stipe | Deputy Commissioner |
| L. F. Ashley | Victor District |
| Marvin Switzenberg | Terminous & Thornton Districts |
| C. W. Thompson | Elliott District |

Manteca Office Manteca City Hall Manteca 44

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Nick J. Wolter | Supervising Inspector & Ripon District |
| Walton Bauer | French Camp District |
| Allen L. Bugbee | Escalon District |
| Jess Grisham | Manteca District |

Tracy Office Tracy City Hall Tracy 1264

| | |
|------------------|----------------------|
| Aage R. Tugel | Deputy Commissioner |
| Wilfred McDaniel | South Tracy District |

SPECIAL WEED CONTROL PROJECT

| | |
|------------------|-----------|
| Clyde Beutler | Inspector |
| Richard Devol | Inspector |
| Richard R. Raney | Inspector |
| Walter Beck | Mechanic |

- o o e -

| | |
|---------------|--------------|
| Elmer Henson | Truck Driver |
| Charles Posey | Truck Driver |

PLANT QUARANTINE

Paramount in the duties of this department are the plant quarantine activities. The protection of our agricultural industry through the prevention of the introduction of detrimental insects, plant diseases, noxious weeds and animal pests existing outside of this county is indispensable. The efficiency of natural geographical barriers have been reduced extensively by the greatly expanded interchange of plant material by modern methods of transportation. Consequently, the first line of defense against the introduction and dissemination of injurious agricultural pests must be sustained by methodic quarantine inspection of all plant materials or public conveyances entering this county capable of carrying these pests.

This involves the inspection at all post offices, vessels, freight, express, and truck line offices of all incoming and outgoing shipments of plant material and conveyances which may carry injurious plant disease, insect pests, or noxious weeds or animal pests. All such shipments are held for inspection by the common carrier. Most of these places are visited daily by inspectors, and containers of all shipments subject to quarantine are opened and examined for the presence of pests or prohibited material. Whenever shipments are found in violation, disposition of such plant material is either by treatment, destruction under the supervision of the inspector, or return to place of origin.

Since San Joaquin County has a great diversification of agricultural crops it is correspondingly vulnerable to a large array of plant diseases and plant pests. Under these circumstances a greater responsibility and demand has been placed upon this department to carry out the required quarantine duties.

The following table shows the amount of quarantine work completed for this year:

State Interior Quarantine Inspections

| | By Truck | By Mail | By Boat or Rail | Total |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|-----------|
| No. of shipments passed | 855 | 2,107 | 85 | 3,047 |
| No. of items passed | 6,397,860 | 322,463 | 88,776 | 6,809,099 |
| No. of shipments rejected | 55 | 4 | 1 | 60 |
| No. of items rejected | 5,275 | 8 | 1 | 5,284 |

State Exterior Quarantine Inspections

| | By Truck | By Mail | By Boat or Rail | Total |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|---------|
| No. of shipments passed | 376 | 3,764 | 205 | 4,345 |
| No. of items passed | 297,954 | 360,096 | 74,673 | 732,723 |
| No. of shipments rejected | 7 | 106 | 71 | 184 |
| No. of items rejected | 57,059 | 3,120 | 319 | 60,498 |

Quarantine Violations

| <u>State Quarantines</u> | <u>Number of Violations</u> | <u>Federal Quarantines</u> | <u>Number of Violations</u> |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Quarantine Proc. # 1 | 20 | Federal Quar. # 3 | 6 |
| Quarantine Proc. # 4 | 2 | Federal Quar. #13 | 2 |
| Quarantine Proc. # 6 | 1 | Federal Quar. #28 | 1 |
| Quarantine Proc. # 8 | 1 | Federal Quar. #37 | 5 |
| Quarantine Proc. # 9 | 12 | Federal Quar. #48 | 1 |
| Quarantine Proc. #10 | 8 | Federal Quar. #56 | 4 |
| Quarantine Proc. #11 | 1 | Federal Quar. #58 | 1 |
| Quarantine Proc. #12 | 3 | Federal Quar. #60 | 1 |
| Quarantine Proc. #13 | 2 | B. A. L. Order #371 | 17 |
| Quarantine Proc. #15 | 21 | | |
| Quarantine Proc. #16 | 4 | | |
| Quarantine Proc. #20 | 8 | | |
| Quarantine Proc. #21 | 1 | | |
| Agri. Code Sec. #114 | 2 | | |
| Agri. Code Sec. #115 | 76 | | |
| Agri. Code Sec. #124 | 60 | | |
| Agri. Code Sec. #125 | <u>7</u> | | |
| TOTAL | 229 | TOTAL | <u>38</u> |

Ship Inspections

This year 111 ships were inspected, an increase of 76 per cent over last year. An examination was made of each ship's cargo, food stores, baggage, officer's and crew's quarters, and garbage for injurious pests or quarantine law violations. Of the 111 ships checked, 43 were found having contraband material aboard. Most of these quarantined materials consisted of plant foods, plants, and foreign meats. The plant food, such as fruit and vegetables usually constituted part of the ship's stores, which were then sealed in lockers or refrigeration rooms while the ship was in port. Most of the cargoes quarantined consisted of equipment having dirt adhering to the sides. Each piece of equipment was thoroughly washed before being released. In addition, 17 ships which had foreign meat in storage lockers were sealed to prevent the possible introduction of the dreaded Hoof and Mouth Disease.

Certification

Another function of plant quarantine is that of certification as to pest conditions or pest treatments when such is officially required on out-going shipments. In addition to certification of shipments, shipping permits and certificates of inspection of nursery stock after thorough inspection were placed on interstate shipments.

The following certificates were issued and fees received:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Sanitary Inspection reports - - - - - | 49 |
| Potato Fumigation Certificates - - - - - | 259 |
| Oriental Fruit Moth Certificates - - - - - | 18 |
| Fees Received - - - - - | -\$692.50 |

PLANT DISEASE AND INSECT SURVEY

The purpose of this program is to locate any new agricultural pests which may have been introduced into this county. In the event a potentially serious pest is found, appropriate eradication or control measures are immediately taken. To determine the extent of spread of these insects or plant diseases, survey work by trapping and visual inspection is carried out. The following is a summary of the most important pest surveys conducted by members of this department.

PLANT DISEASES

Grape Mosaic (Virus) The introduction of contaminated experimental nursery stock made necessary the inspection of properties where this rootstock had been planted. Three diseased vines found this year in two locations were destroyed by burning. Forty-six vines, the entire experimental block, were destroyed at one other property where a high percentage of infected vines were found.

Onion Yellow Dwarf (Virus) Spot surveys were conducted in all onion-growing sections of the county, disclosing no diseased plants. A mottling of the leaves characterizes this disease.

Peach Wart (Virus) This is the third year inspection has been made to determine the presence of this disease. A tree to tree inspection was made at pre-harvest time of all Candoka and Rio Oso Gem peach trees. No diseased trees were found this year.

Chestnut Blight Endothia parasitica This is the sixteenth year eradication work has been carried on since the discovery of this pest. This year three contaminated trees were found in two orchards and were destroyed by burning to prevent further spread.

Onion Smut Urocystis cepulae It was considered that the seriousness of this disease was not great enough to warrant legal measures during the past year in cases where onion smut was present.

Golden Nematode Heterodera rostochiensis
and

Potato Rot Nematode Ditylenchus destructor In cooperation with Federal and State Plant Pathologists a survey was conducted to determine the presence or absence of these pests. Examination was made of storage sheds and refuse from potato grading machines. Samples were taken and submitted for laboratory analysis. All results were negative.

Bulb Nematode Ditylenchus dipsaci During the course of pest control calls, two properties were found to be infested with this nematode. Onions planted on these two small areas were a complete loss. Spot surveys in other onion plantings failed to reveal further infestations.

Strawberry Spring Dwarf Nematode Aphelenchoides fragariae Only one property was found to be infested with this pest. A hold notice was placed on this property, under authority of Section 128 of the Agricultural Code, to prohibit movement of plants from this field.

Western X disease (Virus)
and

Yellow Curl of Peaches (unknown) A survey was made of one-half of all peach tree plantings in the county in cooperation with State Department of Agriculture. Several suspicious Western X diseased trees were found. These trees were marked and will be under observation. No Yellow Curl was found.

INSECT PESTS

Colorado Potato Beetle Leptinotarsa decemlineata Randomized checks were made throughout the county in both residential and large scale potato producing areas to determine whether or not this pest could be found. Negative results were obtained.

Grape Myrtle Aphid Myzocallis kahawaluokalani No new infestations of this insect were found. Inspections were made of numerous private plantings of Grape Myrtle throughout this county. This pest was introduced into another section of California from Hawaii, and since this popular shrub is relatively pest free, the extent of its spread was checked in cooperation with the State Department of Agriculture.

Hall Scale Nilotaspis halli No official survey was made for this pest during the year since surveys conducted during the two preceding years failed to disclose the presence of the scale.

Japanese Beetle Popillia japonica As in the two previous years survey work was carried on between May 15 and October 1, for this insect. Twelve scouting traps were used at strategic points around Stockton Field airport, the U. S. Naval Annex, and at Lodi. No Japanese beetles were taken. Adults of the Desert June Beetle, honey bees and various other insects were collected.

Mexican Bean Beetle Epilachna varivestis Muls. Representative checks of bean plantings throughout the county were made to ascertain whether or not this serious pest of beans had been introduced from infested areas. No beetles or characteristic damage was discovered.

Naval Orangeworm Myelois venipars A county-wide survey was made in 1949, to determine the extent of spread of this pest in San Joaquin County. This insect was introduced into the southern part of California several years ago from Arizona, where it was found to be a scavenger on fallen citrus. Since its introduction into this state, it has caused considerable apprehension due to its feeding in walnuts and almonds. This

pest was found to be present in the Tracy area at one residential property and three almond orchards. Due to the spread of this insect beyond natural boundaries, a quarantine regulation prohibiting the shipment of untreated nuts from infested areas was revoked in October, 1949. No appreciable spread of the Naval orangeworm has been observed for the 1950 season.

Oriental Fruit Fly *Dacus dorsalis* This is one of the most important survey programs that is being carried on by this department in cooperation with the State Department of Agriculture. Fifty glass traps were used during the 1950 season. Weekly collections of the contents were sent to Sacramento for determination. In addition to the survey program host plant materials were collected here and shipped to Hawaii for evaluating the stage at which the fly attacks.

Sweet Potato Weevil *Cylas formicarius elegantulus* During the past season no sweet potato weevils were found nor was damage to tubers characteristic of this insect noted in sweet potato fields or packing houses.

NURSERY INSPECTION

Inspections are made of all nurseries in San Joaquin County in order to ascertain that legal standards are being met regarding insects, plant diseases and noxious weeds. Since shipments are made to all parts of the county and to points outside of the county, the ideal place to destroy the plant pests is at the nurseries.

Nurseries (Ornamental) The inspection of nursery stock and premises in thirty-six nurseries was completed the latter part of the year and did not reveal the presence of any new pests. Pests found were controlled to meet the requirements outlined in regulations governing the issuance and use of inter-county nursery stock certificates under authority of Section 123.56 of the Agricultural Code of California. All pests found are of common occurrence throughout the state, with the exception of a soft scale, *Asterolecanium arabidis*, Holly scale *Aspidiotus brittannicus*, California red scale *Aonidiella aurantii* (Mask.) and yellow scale *A. citrinia* (Cosq.). As requested by the State Department of Agriculture, Nursery Service, these infested plants were destroyed by burning or fumigation in an approved fumigation chamber using Methyl bromide.

Nurseries (Trees) During the winter months when the planting of fruit and nut trees is in progress, extensive inspection work is necessary. The young trees are closely inspected for injurious plant pests such as oak root fungus, nematode, and crown gall. Under our county ordinance, the roots of fruit trees are examined for split roots, crooked roots, dead roots, and freezing damage. Any plants that do not come up to specification or are infested with pests are rejected. One large nursery that specialized in deciduous fruit and nut trees required the full-time services of an inspector for a period of three months.

Nurseries (Tomatoes) During the months of April, May and June extensive inspection work was conducted on all tomato beds in the county. This year it was necessary for this department to reject 2,284,640 nematode-infested plants to prevent spread to soil which is free of nematode. Once the nematode becomes established, it is impossible to rid the land of this highly undesirable pest. The number of plants rejected during the past year for nematode was substantially lower than the preceding year.

TOMATO INSPECTION FOR 1950
(County Tomato plants only)

| | |
|---|-----------------------|
| Plants free from nematode - - - - - | 18,450,000 |
| Plants infested and rejected - - - | 2,284,640 |
| Total number plants inspected - - - | <u>20,734,640</u> |

ORCHARD AND FIELD INSPECTION

Inspections are made of orchard and field crops for the purpose of determining the extent of damage by established insects and plant diseases. Pest control methods are noted as are materials in current use and the advantages which such materials may have over those formerly used. Infestations are inspected periodically to observe control and if control measures in use are not adequate, more stringent measures may be enacted, especially when there is immediate danger of the pest spreading to adjoining properties.

Periodic inspections of orchards and field crops are also necessary to guard against any new pest that may have been introduced into the county, and if present, immediate steps for the eradication or control may be undertaken. In order that such measures will meet the highest degree of success, field observations of current pest control operations must be observed. Records are kept on a monthly basis of the various pests causing damage.

Following is a brief summary of some of the important pests to crops found in this county.

INSECTS AND MITES ON FRUIT AND NUT CROPS

Codling Moth Carpocapsa pomonella Growers who followed the recognized spray program this year had excellent control of this major pest of walnuts. Where control measures were not used worm damage ran quite high.

Walnut Aphis Chromaphis juglandicola Population was normal. Many growers were compelled to dust to combat this insect. Nicotine sulphate added to the codling moth spray was of value in reducing aphid populations.

Two spotted Spider Mite Tetranychus bimaculatus Severe damage was caused to walnut and peach tree leaves causing them to dry up and fall in large numbers. The entire county was affected by this pest. This was considered one of the years of heaviest damage due to the two spotted mite.

San Jose Scale Aspidiotus perniciosus Was the same as the preceding year causing some injury to fruit trees, particularly cherries and peaches. Most growers are becoming aware of this scale insect and are holding it in check through the application of oil or lime sulfur sprays in the dormant season.

Peach Twig Borer Anarsia lineatella Although conditions were similar to those of previous years, infestations were lighter this year than last in most orchards.

Almond Mite Bryobia praetiosa Was present in many orchards; however, heavy damage did not materialize. Moderate losses occurred in non-irrigated orchards. These mites are developing into a major pest of almonds.

Grape Erinose Mite Eriophyes vitis Were numerous during the spring in many vineyards, but only in a few instances did damage result to buds and leaves from this mite.

Grape Bud Mite Eriophyes vitis A physiological strain of the above, was scattered throughout the main grape districts. Damage was very spotted. A few vineyards were observed to have suffered loss from this pest.

Grape Phylloxera Dactylosphaera vitifoliae As was the case in 1949, this insect continues to be a problem in many vineyards. Growers are becoming more conscious of this insect each year due to its devastating effect on grapevine roots. Several new infestations were discovered during the year.

Grape Leafhopper Erythroneura comes The number of broods was lighter this year. Many growers held damage to a minimum by using DDT in an early dusting program.

Pacific Mite Tetranychus pacificus Wine grape areas were hit late in the season this year causing severe leaf damage. There were some new materials used against this pest with promising results. Foliage damage by this pest was not severe until after grapes had reached maturity. Cherry trees were also heavily infested by this mite in many areas.

Beet Leafhopper Circulifer tenellus (Baker) In cooperation with the State Department of Agriculture during their emergency fall spray program, weekly records were made by our entomologist to assist in determining the correct time for making the spray application.

PLANT DISEASES OF FRUIT AND NUT CROPS

Brown Rot Sclerotinia fructicola & S. laxa Infestations of this fungus were light. The mild, dry weather which prevailed during the past season inhibited the development of this destructive disease.

Peach Blight Coryneum beijerinckii Light damage was experienced this year except in a few

apricot, peach, and almond orchards where no control measures were taken or where improper spray materials were applied.

Root Lesion Nematode Pratylenchus spp. Another common name for this is meadow nematode. Since the importance of this pest was recognized a few years ago, considerable work has been done to develop resistant root stock for English walnut trees. Growers, suspecting that their trees have a dying condition caused by root lesion nematode, have contacted this department to determine it's presence or absence. Observations are being made of the different locations where this pest is found.

Peach Leaf Curl Taphrina deformans Most varieties of peach trees showed a decreased amount of infection of this disease largely due to dry weather.

Crown Rot Phytophthora cactorum This fungus continues to be a problem in walnut orchards and individual trees in town. Most noticeable is the prevalence of this disease on trees located in poorly drained soil or where excessive surface moisture is maintained, as on and around lawns.

Oak-root Fungus Armillaria mellea A number of new infections were discovered through inspection of suspicious trees and grapevines and by specimens brought in by farmers for identification. Many growers have been duly alarmed by this destructive fungus and have taken strong measures to stop the spread of this serious disease.

Powdery Mildew Spaerotheca pannosa var. persicae This particular variety of powdery mildew which attacks peaches did a light amount of damage this year in some districts.

Powdery Mildew Uncinula necator On grapevines was not so prevalent as in some previous years. Four dustings this year held damage to a minimum.

Walnut Blight Phytomonas juglandis The most destructive disease of Payne variety walnuts was very light this year, due to weather conditions.

Cherry Diseases (virus) Numerous virus diseases have been seriously affecting cherry production in this and other cherry-producing counties. A program of selecting clean budwood has been inaugurated by the State Department of Agriculture as a long-range improvement program. This department assisted in field work in San Joaquin County.

INSECTS AND MITES OF VEGETABLE AND FIELD CROPS

Tomato Mite Phyllocoptes destructor This pest is sometimes referred to as the silver mite due to its characteristic damage to tomato foliage. Growers are well aware of this pest and applied sulfur as a precautionary measure with good results. Mites were observed in late July.

Corn Earworm Heliothis armigera No trouble of importance was experienced this year with this insect in tomato crops, for the timely application of the insecticide DDD (Dichloro-diphenyl-dichloroethane) gave splendid results; however, sweet corn fields were hit as hard as ever where control was not practiced. DDD & DDT in combination gave good control of this insect.

Tomato Hornworm Protoparce quinquemaculata
and

Tobacco Hornworm P. sexta Were heavier this year. Those that did appear were effectively controlled with applications of DDD in commercial plantings.

Darkling Ground Beetle (various species) Were quickly controlled by DDT, DDD and poisoned bran. In some cases where large numbers of these beetles were found, control measures were undertaken before the planting of the crop.

Flea Beetles (various species) Had a general distribution. In a few cases control measures were necessary.

Grasshoppers (various species) Control measures were not necessary on a community basis this year as they were during last year. The extensive spray program of last year, cultural practice and weather were felt to be responsible for the reduction.

Wireworms (various species) Farmers in the county found it advisable to treat more land than in previous years for this pest. In most cases the soil fumigant D-D (Dichloropropene dichloropropane) was used; however, some farmers used EDB (Ethylene dibromide). Some new materials appear promising for the control of soil infesting insects.

Celery Leaf-tier Phyllyctaenia rubigalis Damage to celery by this insect was negligible.

Celery Looper Autographa falcifera Infestations of this insect were light and practically no damage occurred in any of the celery fields.

Cutworms (various varieties) These pests were prevalent in many localities this year. They caused light damage to plantings of tomatoes and other miscellaneous truck crops.

Western Yellow-striped Armyworm Prodenia praefica Grote Heavy infestations were present this year but not as heavy as last year. (Also see paragraph on the Beet Webworm).

Sunflower Moth Homoeosoma electellum This pest was found to be present in damaging numbers in sunflower plantings this year. Seeds in the perimeter of mature heads were attacked by the larvae of this insect. Control of this pest is difficult. Materials used were DDT and TEPP with some results.

VEGETABLE AND FIELD CROP DISEASES

Green Peach Aphid Myzus persicae A high percentage of direct seeded tomato fields were heavily infested with this aphid, in many cases nearly covering the lower side of plants. Beneficial insects aided in checking this pest but insecticides were of little value due to the constant influx from various plants bordering these fields.

Root Knot Nematode Heterodera marioni It would be difficult to place too much emphasis on the importance of preventing the spread of this pest to uninfested areas. Great care should be taken not to move in soil or plants from areas unless known to be free of nematode. Soil infested with nematode should not be planted with susceptible host plants unless one of the nematocides is first applied. The value of the crop must also be considered since such treatments only permit economic control.

Bacterial Canker Phytopomonas michiganensis This bacterial organism was found infesting tomato plants in a few fields this year. Growers have been cautioned not to replant old tomato beds this coming year that have been contaminated by this destructive disease.

Western Yellow Elight (virus) This tomato disease, which is spread by the beet leafhopper Circulifer tenellus (Baker) caused heavy loss this year. However, it is fortunate that surrounding plants often filled in the area where plants had been killed by this disease and thus, yield was not too seriously affected. Extensive spraying is being done to reduce populations of this leafhopper in California.

Tomato Mosaic Disease (virus) The effects of this disease were evident in numerous fields in the county, but infected tomato plants outgrew its damage in most cases, causing very little damage. In general, tomato mosaic was lighter this year.

Spotted Wilt (virus) This disease was found spotted throughout tomato fields in the county. Several fields suffered some loss from this virus. Three fields in the northern part of this county were noted in particular. Control measures should be directed against the thrips that carry this virus to plants in the seed bed and field.

Fusarium Wilt & Verticillium Wilt These two fungus diseases were evident to a certain extent in some tomato fields with some damage occurring. Where tomatoes are grown on the same land several years in succession this disease increases in severity.

Western Celery Mosaic (virus) No serious losses were experienced from this disease this year. Infections were light throughout celery-growing areas of the county.

Aster Yellows (virus) This virus disease, carried by the six-spotted leafhopper, Macrosteles divisus, stunted a small percentage of celery grown. The Golden varieties of celery suffered greater losses than other varieties.

Potato Diseases (various) Since growers are now using certified seed potatoes, losses from the various diseases of potatoes are negligible.

PEST CONTROL OPERATORS

Farmers of San Joaquin County each year carry out extensive pest control work of plant diseases and insect pests to protect their crops. The gradual introduction of numerous plant diseases, insect pests, and noxious weeds now require energetic measures of suppression or eradication to keep these pests from interfering with profitable crop production. To facilitate these controls, farmers many times call upon commercial pest operators to make the application of the chemical used.

This year a number of changes were made in the Agricultural Code pertaining to and effecting the activities of commercial pest control operators. Operators were required to obtain their license from the state and register in the counties of intended operations. Furthermore, restrictions were placed upon the use of certain insecticides and herbicides. The restrictions upon some of the insecticides and herbicides were enacted to protect crops, livestock and persons in the vicinity of the application of poisonous materials.

Most notable among the new regulations for this year was the restriction on the use of 2-4-D and related compounds. In recent years it has been the contention of many growers with susceptible crops that more restrictions be placed upon this herbicide. Although very beneficial as a selective spray in killing weeds in some crops, it has caused damage to other crops either through drift or careless methods of application. Therefore, regulations adopted at the beginning of this year required both the farmer and commercial pest control operator to obtain a permit before using 2-4-D from this department and observe certain rules in the methods of application.

Between March 15 and October 1 the use of 2-4-D was prohibited in the so called hazardous area located in the Northern portion of San Joaquin County. In order to adequately enforce this law, an air patrol was inaugurated. This was particularly effective in observing the activities of aircraft operated by commercial pest control operators.

Prior to any 2-4-D treatment over one pound on property in the hazardous area or five pounds on property not in the hazardous area, a permit was obtained by the farmer from this office. Permits on 2-4-D were issued only after it was determined that such material could be applied without injury to crops other than the vegetation it is intended to destroy.

In the case of commercial aircraft operators who applied 2-4-D, an inspection of all equipment used in this county was made by this department. It was required of all operators that equipment be altered to minimize the dangers of drift or repair equipment wherever leakage was present.

New regulations on the application of a number of insecticides have become law the latter part of this year. This law was designed to control the application of specified chemical material that could be injurious to persons, animals or crops other than to the pest or vegetation which it is intended to destroy. Since this law became effective late in the year after the spray programs for the use of these materials had been completed, commercial operators will not be effected by this law until this spring (1951).

Throughout the year, operators were required to send in monthly reports giving information of all work done. In the use of certain insecticides, a 48 hour notice was required to be filed with this office in advance of application. During the year 166 of these notices were filed with this department. In connection with the new regulations on 2-4-D, 183 permits were filed with this office. These permits were issued only after it was deemed that no crops or other domesticated plants were in the vicinity that were susceptible to the 2-4-D chemical or its derivatives.

This year 62 operators were registered for commercial pest control work in San Joaquin County. Of this number 28 were qualified in aircraft operations. The number of operators qualified in the fourteen basic categories as set up by the state department of Agriculture varied; however, a predominance were found qualified in the spraying and dusting of orchards, vineyards, field crops and vegetables and in weed control.

Acres Treated in San Joaquin County by Commercial Operators:

| | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Plant Diseases and Insect Pests | | |
| Fruit Tree Crops - - - - - | 3,072 | |
| Field Crops - - - - - | 17,403 | |
| Vegetable Crops - - - - - | 47,288 | |
| Vineyards - - - - - | 67,168 | |
| Nut Tree Crops - - - - - | <u>5,119</u> | 140,050 |
| Weed Control | | |
| 2-4-D - - - - - | 17,288 | |
| Contact Material - - - - - | <u>5,096</u> | 22,384 |
| Soil Fumigation | | |
| D-D - - - - - | 383 | |
| EDB - - - - - | 376 | |
| BHC - - - - - | <u>236</u> | <u>995</u> |
| Total Acres Treated - - - - - | | 163,429 |

HOUSEHOLD AND GARDEN PESTS

Scarcely a day passes without this office receiving calls requesting information for the control of insect pests either inside their houses or in their gardens. Many times the identification of the insect is not known by the person calling or only a general description of the condition of the plant can be given by the person. Under these circumstances it is necessary to call on the party in question, and only after a positive identification can proper control measures be recommended. These calls are necessary not only to assist the party involved, but it is never known when a new pest to this county will be found that is of a serious nature to agricultural crops. By discovering such a pest before it has a chance to become established and spread to neighboring properties, methods of suppression or eradication may be effectively employed.

STANDARDIZATION

Fruit, Nut, Vegetable, Egg and Honey

This activity of Standardization work is authorized under Chapter 2, Division 5 of Agricultural Code. It has to do with the inspection of eggs, honey, walnuts, and thirty-two of the important fruits and vegetables, to see that they comply with the specific standards specified in the Code. It also includes all other fresh fruits and vegetables, as they are also regulated as to serious decay and insect damage, and all dried fruits regulated as to deception and mislabeling.

This year the enforcement of the Standardization Laws was carried out by all members of the department in addition to performing their other duties. During the shipping season, a number of crops demanded a large number of inspectors to be on the job. Since commodities were delivered throughout the day and into the late evening to re-distribution centers, where it is more practical to maintain inspections, many hours of overtime were necessary to properly inspect this produce to maintain higher standards of quality and pack, and further to protect the consumer from fraud, mislabeling, and deception of commodities. This procedure also assisted the truckers and shippers in getting their produce into the markets without unnecessary delay by further inspections at State operated highway inspection stations.

Stockton's Marketing Center Located in Stockton is a marketing center, the hub for the distribution of agricultural produce grown in San Joaquin County. Not only does this center provide an outlet for local commodities, but it also serves as a distribution center for fruits and vegetables that are shipped in from outside of San Joaquin County. As might be expected, here much of the standardization work for this department is carried out.

Operating the year around the morning wholesale market opens at 5:00 A.M. and farmers from all over the county bring in their produce to be sold to retailers. To maintain fruits and

vegetables of high quality, one inspector is assigned to the morning market to enforce standardization requirements. Maximum activity at the morning market is reached during the summer months at the height of the fresh fruit harvest.

The afternoon market starts operation at the beginning of the cherry season and continues on through the fruit producing months until fall. The bulk of these fruits and vegetables are transported to Los Angeles and San Francisco morning markets. An inspector is assigned to tour periodically all of the loading docks to see that fruit and vegetable standards are maintained. The majority of loads of produce are certified before leaving for their final destination.

Marketing Orders For the first time marketing orders on fresh peaches and plums were enacted this year. These marketing orders stipulating stricter regulations upon these two commodities increased the work load for standardization inspectors considerably. Since these marketing orders were new, many of the growers were not familiar with them. Consequently, it was necessary to reject a number of lots found in violation of the marketing order.

Wholesale Markets and Retail Stores It is our policy to make daily inspections at all wholesale establishments since a number of commodities are imported into the county from other parts of the state. Furthermore, in order to assure the consumer produce of the highest quality, fruit and vegetables are periodically inspected at retail stores.

Fruit, Nut and Vegetable Although there were a number of weather fluctuations during the year, crops maintained relatively high quality. The small amount of rots, mold and decay minimized the problems arising under the enforcement of the Standardization Law pertaining to these factors.

San Joaquin County with its diversified agriculture finds crops being harvested the year around; thus standardization problems with local crops confront this office throughout the year. However, certain crops demand greater attention from this office than others.

Outstanding is the 55,000 acres of asparagus spread throughout the Delta region. At harvest time this asparagus goes to approximately 150 packing sheds in this area with the bulk being trucked to one of our 19 centrally located shipping points. Here personnel of this department carry out inspections and whenever a situation demands special attention, inspectors are detailed to packing sheds to correct mal-practices. This year with the new size designations, violations of the asparagus standards were appreciably reduced for deceptive packs. Some lots were rejected due to excessive seeding and spreading.

Another prominent crop requiring a large number of our force to inspect properly is cherries. Daily inspections were made at seven loading docks for interstate and intrastate shipments. This year the cherry crop was a very good quality. However, there were quite a few violations on immaturity and improper row size.

The peach crop with its many varieties maturing at various times throughout the summer required constant attention. The bulk of the freestone peaches are packed and sent to the wholesale markets within the state. A number of other lots were rejected for deceptive pack, over-ripe and bruising.

At the beginning of the grape season a few rejections were made because of the maturity requirements. Then late in the season a number of lots held in storage were rejected because of mold and decay.

Infractions of the standardization law for other produce was less pronounced. There was a miscellaneous number of violations on markings, deceptive pack and defects in excess of tolerance; however, the bulk of the standardization work centered on the crops specified in the preceding paragraphs.

Eggs During this year 145 premises were inspected which included grocery stores, egg markets and any other place where eggs were offered for sale. A representative sample of 284 lots representing 30,159 dozen eggs were candled for grade, checked for size, or other defects. Of the eggs inspected 1,221 dozen were found in violation of the Standardization Egg Law.

Honey Throughout the year, a number of calls have been received by this office for general information concerning honey grades and marking requirements. There have been several rejection notices given this year on containers of honey not being properly marked as to grade.

Grapes for By-Products The Agricultural Code under section 771 provides that wineries purchasing grapes on the sugar content shall have an official test made on each load delivered. This year eight wineries required the services of 12 authorized inspectors from this department. There were 37,330 soluble solids tests made and 18,636 certificates of inspection issued at these wineries. The total cost of this type of work was \$6,186.14 which was paid by the different wineries requiring this service.

Certification The certification of agricultural produce represents one of the major activities of this department in standardization work. This is exemplified by the fact that 3,716 certificates were issued during the year. The certificate is of considerable importance not only to facilitate movement of produce past inspection stations, but it insures the recipient at destination produce that meets minimum standards of the California Standardization Law. This service is of special importance in this county since there is a heavy export of fruits and vegetables grown in San Joaquin County.

Standardization Statistics

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|
| Number of Containers Inspected - - - | 7,034,462 |
| Certificates Issued - - - - - | 3,716 |
| Fees Received - - - - - | \$2,193.70 |
| Violation Notices Issued - - - - - | 411 |
| Number of Containers Rejected - - - | 24,760 |
| Court Cases - - - - - | 1 |
| Amount of Fines - - - - - | \$25.00 |

RODENT AND BIRD CONTROL

Ground Squirrels This year through the coordinated efforts of farmers, irrigation districts, reclamation districts and railroad companies, excellent results have been obtained in controlling ground squirrels. There has been a greater understanding among these groups on the principles underlying a successful program. Realization that the best control possible could be accomplished by working together, more organized groups than ever before worked for mutual interests and dealt a devastating blow to the squirrel population in a large portion of the county. Although the initial cost for some groups was somewhat higher than usual, the success of the program this year is expected to substantially reduce the expense of next year's program. Ground squirrel work constitutes one of the important activities of this department.

During the year, 3,207 calls were made on squirrel control work by members of this department. In many cases not only were properties inspected and information given on the control of squirrels, but inspectors demonstrated the use of equipment and precautions warranted in the handling of poisonous or inflammable rodenticides. The campaign against the ground squirrel is continuous throughout the year. Inclement weather is the only factor in any suspension of field work. During the months of March, April, and May the most effective period for ground squirrel control in this area, operations reach their peak. On large projects the Sheriff's Department supplies county prisoners as low-cost laborers who work under our supervision.

Rats Rural areas in San Joaquin County have not been troubled to any great extent by rats. However, a few farmers have called upon this department to help rid their property of this pest. Most of their trouble has occurred where exposed food stuff was present and rats were causing damage. Zinc phosphide and "1080" were the principle baits used under the supervision of this department. Since most red squill available lately has proven unreliable due to loss of strength, the use of this material as a poison bait was discontinued.

Mice No serious outbreaks of mice occurred in the county this year. Apparently poisoning and sanitation practices in areas previously infested with mice has virtually eliminated this rodent as a factor in damaging agricultural crops.

Bird Control A number of complaints have been received by this department concerning damage to crops by birds. Trouble with the hornlark was rather extensive in southwestern portions of the county. Young pinto bean plants, tomato plants, and onion seedlings were attacked by these migrating birds. Bird poison used was not successful; thus farmers kept them out by shooting with various degrees of success. A number of complaints were filed against the wary English sparrow as a general nuisance around barns, garages, and in gardens. Blackbirds were reported in some fields and almond orchards. In the Delta area, geese caused considerable damage to 80 acres of newly sprouted barley. In some cases control measures with poisoned baits were used for hornlarks, sparrows and blackbirds. Results varied and in many cases methods to scare the offending birds were employed.

WEED CONTROL

The control of weeds injurious to the welfare of agriculture in San Joaquin County has developed into unrepresented proportions. The realization that successful farming may depend in a large measure upon an effective control of these weeds has stimulated a wider interest among residents of this county than ever before. This weed conscious public has apparently developed over the past few years as a result of a special Weed Control Program inaugurated by this county.

Special Weed Control Program Although emphasis on the control of noxious weeds has been a policy of this department for many years, a special program of greater proportions has been in effect since 1947. With farmers taking a greater interest in the control of noxious weeds infesting their property, the suppression and eradication work for this year has culminated in an all time high.

Through this program, county spray rigs have been made available to farmers who do not have their own equipment free of charge. County spray rigs have patrolled county and state roads throughout the growing season for weed pests. To supplement this special weed control program, farmers in a number of cases are able to secure partial financial help through the Production Marketing Administration on cost of material and labor.

Education Work The first step to develop this interest in the weed control program by farmers has been a continuous educational program. By preparing articles on the subject and disseminating this information through the radio, newspapers, and local journals a county weed program was publicized. Lectures at farm meets were given by members of our department and farmers were

contacted in the field. Through these channels the county's program on the control of noxious weeds existing in this county was well advertised.

County Equipment The recognition of the fact that many farmers do not have the necessary equipment to treat infestations of noxious weeds on their property, the county through this department has made available powered spray rigs to apply the herbicidal materials.

The spray rigs mounted on four wheel power trucks are able to move over adverse terrain with a minimum of difficulty. These spray rigs have been constructed and maintained by this department's shop.

Annual Weeds

Puncture Vine Tribulus terrestris Is without a doubt the most offending of annual noxious weeds within the San Joaquin County. This pest has infested a large part of the southern portion of this county. In contrast the northern portion of the county is relatively free of this pest. Unfortunately, this weed has obtained a toe-hold on some of the roadsides and on some private property in this area. Special effort has been expended to control the Puncture Vine and prevent further spread in areas of relative light infestations.

Yellow Star Thistle Centaurea solstitialis On the converse side is more prevalent in the north and less evident in the southern portion of the county. This weed has proven to be of special nuisance in pasture lands. Farmers have also found it to have the provoking habit of establishing itself in difficult to get at locations such as fence lines and ditch banks. Fortunately, control of this weed is much easier as compared with Puncture Vine since it does not produce viable seed in such a short time and its presence is more evident by its tall growth.

Milk Thistle Silybum marianum Has proven to be disagreeable in some localities within the county especially when it acquires its mature growth. A number of farmers have requested that this weed be controlled on roadside infestations.

These annual weeds are controlled effectively with contact sprays. Control work starts in the early spring for Milk Thistle and Yellow Star Thistle. As the season advances to early summer, Puncture Vine makes its appearance. In each case, control work is started as soon as it is possible to detect their presence. At this point maximum kill is obtained with minimum cost.

Perennial Weeds

Johnson Grass Sorghum halepense Has proven to be the most widespread and most troublesome to farmers.

Throughout the year, 1098 infestations were treated with borax-chlorate spray material. Of this number, 347 infestations were eradicated. Follow-up work is continuing on remaining infestations. Almost with-

out exceptions, more than one treatment was required to obtain the desired results.

Russian Knapweed Centaurea repens Infestations number 49. Of this number, 9 were eliminated, with work continuing on the rest.

Canada Thistle Cirsium arvense Is found in only three infestations. By the use of 2,4-D and soil sterilants, one infestation was eliminated. Fortunately these plants do not form viable seed, thus spread depends upon natural root expansion or by cultivation.

Horsenettle Solanum species Is not prevalent in this county. Of the 9 infestations treated, 5 were eradicated. 2,4-D gives good results; however, several applications were sometimes needed.

Hoary Cress Cardaria species Has proven to be one of the most difficult of the perennials to control. With persistent effort, 10 infestations out of an original 38 were eliminated.

Pepper Cress Perennial Lepidium latifolium Is not widely spread in this county. During the year, 6 infestations were treated. One was eradicated with soil sterilants, several large infestations show good results with 2,4-D.

Klamath Weed Hypericum perforatum Was found in 14 small infestations in the county. Of this number, 12 have been eliminated and further observance will be necessary to determine the results on the other two infestations.

Wild Heliotrope Heliotropium curassauicum Has been found to be a nuisance, especially in vineyards. Carbon bisulphide has been used on 5 small infestations in vineyards without regards to the vines. Results have been very good.

Bermuda Grass Cynodon dactylon Infestations, found in locations that would be adverse to agricultural interest, have been treated. Of the 29 infestations treated with Borax-Chlorate sprays, 7 have been eliminated.

County Roads It is an established fact that roadways are notorious for spreading weeds onto adjoining property. To suppress such infestations before they have the opportunity to spread, it has been the duty of this department to patrol all county roads at intervals with power spray rigs and treat these infestations.

To prevent such weeds as Yellow Star Thistle and especially Puncture Vine from going to seed, spray rigs patrolled each road at 2 to 3 week intervals. In order to cover the 1684 miles of roadside, two 8 hour shifts were maintained during the summer months.

This enabled the spray rigs to operate on a sixteen hour per day basis. The first shift started at day-break and continued

until noon. The second shift operated until dark. This system was necessary to patrol all county roads at the desired interval of 2 to 3 weeks to prevent especially the Puncture Vine from going to seed.

During the winter months perennial noxious weeds were treated with soil sterilants. Result from this work has been very encouraging. A number of infestations have been eradicated.

State Highways In order that all roadsides may be included in the county weed program, an agreement has been made between the State Highway Department and this Department that this Department patrol the 207 miles of state highways in San Joaquin County for noxious weeds. The program on State highways has been carried out in the same manner as for county roads.

Railroads Five of the six railroads within San Joaquin County have agreed to control noxious weeds on railroad right of ways. This control work will be carried out with our equipment and our crews. The costs of the material and the labor will be paid to the County Department by the railroad. However, negotiations are still pending with the one railroad. It is possible that they, also, will request us to do the work on their right of ways. In the past, railroads have been chiefly interested only in vegetation growing between the tracks and a narrow strip on each side, but very little work has been done on noxious weeds found growing between the railroad track area and their right of way fence line. The work that will be done by our equipment will be on the entire railroad right of way and will include such weeds as Johnson Grass, Russian Knapweed, Hoary Cress, Perennial Pepper Cress, White Horsenettle and any other weed of a serious nature.

Materials Used in Weed Control Program The treatment of the annuals, Puncture Vine and Yellow Star Thistle were sprayed with oil emulsion composed of 10-30 gallons of oil, one quart of dinitro general, detergent and water to make a 100 gallon mix. Larger proportions of oil were used during the cooler weather conditions and was decreased to a minimum during the warm summer days.

Since the mixture described destroys only as it contacts the plant when sprayed, regrowth may take place or new plants appear. This year experimental work was conducted using material normally considered as soil sterilants as contact sprays. Such materials would tend to retard future annual weed growth within the treated area.

The treatment of perennial noxious weeds was with sodium-chlorate and borax-chlorate combinations. These have proven to be the most effective in eradicating deep rooted perennials. To avoid the fire hazard of sodium-chlorate, the borax-chlorate was used exclusively by our spray crews. Most of this work was carried out during the fall and winter months. Satisfactory results are obtained by applying this material at 10 pounds per square rod.

Selective and General Weed Spraying Selective weed spraying steadily is gaining popularity in eliminating weeds from such crops as grain, rice, celery, carrots, and alfalfa. Commercial pest control operators and individual farmers owning their own spray equipment have sprayed thousands of acres of crop land in this county this year. Many of these selective weed spraying practices have eliminated cultivation for weed growth entirely. General weed spraying has been steadily increasing in popularity because weeds growing in areas where cultivation was difficult or impossible could be eliminated through chemical treatment. Weeds growing along fence lines, ditch banks and on cultivated areas were found to harbor insects as well as a means to disseminate weed seeds into crop lands. Controlling weeds of this nature has proven to be profitable to the farmer. In a number of cases, unsightly weeds growing in yards around packing sheds and other buildings in farming districts have been treated with soil sterilants, reducing fire hazards and the cost of hoeing. The economy of properly controlling weeds whether they be of noxious nature or just general vegetation, has been proven time and again and the farmers, land owners and other agencies are becoming more interested in this type of work.

Weed Program Summary It is evident, by the success of this year's special weed control program for San Joaquin County, that greater cooperation has been obtained from all private and public groups. Greater numbers of farmers than ever before have carried on control measures on noxious weeds infesting their property. This is especially true in regard to work on deep-rooted perennials.

To further the program, Reclamation Districts and Irrigation Districts have entered the program in a very cooperative manner. Also, most of the Railroad Companies have promised a program of full cooperation.

The financial help offered to farmers for noxious weed control by the Production Marketing Administration, being greater than ever before, has created greater interest among farmers in taking care of their infestations.

Through all of these factors there is the expectation of this Department that even greater strides will be forthcoming for the succeeding year.

SEED INSPECTION

One of the important duties of this office is to prevent the introduction of noxious weed seeds into this county. This is accomplished by inspection of all seed brought into this county for planting purposes or for any other purpose which may disseminate weed seeds. Shortly after notification by common carriers of the arrival of seed lots into the county, inspection is conducted for the presence of noxious weed seed or insect pests.

Grain Inspection During the year, numerous shipments of grain, both bulk and sacked, is brought into the county for stock feeding or seeding purposes. Quarantine samples are drawn for noxious weed seed content, and the general condition of the lot is inspected for foreign material such as cotton, corn cobs, or any other debris that may be capable of harboring insect pests. Grain lots found infested with pests are disposed of by appropriate methods of cleaning, grinding, burning, or fumigating.

| | Lots Passed | Lots Rejected | Total Lots Inspected |
|---------------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Interstate Lots Inspected | 569 | 307 | 876 |
| Intrastate Lots Inspected | 20 | 2 | 22 |

Lots Rejected in Tonnage:

| Tonnage | Reason for Rejection | Disposition |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 60 tons | Quackgrass | Recleaned |
| 120 tons | Canada Thistle | Recleaned |
| 120 tons | Yellow Star Thistle | Cleaned and Ground |
| 6,660 tons | European Corn Borer | Fumigated, diverted, shipped out of state, cleaned and ground and debris burned. |
| 11,580 tons | Johnson Grass and White Horse Nettle | Cleaned and ground or burned. |

Agricultural and Vegetable Seed Inspection Under Chapter 5, Section 125 of the State Agricultural Law and under the California Seed Law, lots of agricultural and vegetable seed are inspected to see that they meet the provisions of these laws. Quarantine samples are drawn and inspected for noxious weed seed. Labels are scrutinized for correct information. Periodic inspection of seed houses is maintained throughout the year, especially to check the germination date since it is effective only for a given length of time. This year, 323 lots of agricultural and vegetable seed were inspected in this county. Of this number, only four lots were rejected due to the presence of noxious weed seed.

Screenings Throughout the year, screenings at the 4 warehouses were inspected for noxious weed seeds. Those lots found infested were rejected and the required sixty days was given to the owner to dispose of the lot by recleaning, grinding, or burning. Out of the 14,493 sacks of screenings inspected, 8,615 sacks were rejected for noxious weed seeds. These rejected sacks of screenings were disposed of by recleaning and grinding or dehydration.

The following weed seeds were present in lots rejected:

| Number of Lots | Number of Sacks | Kind of Seed | Disposition |
|----------------|-----------------|--|-----------------------------|
| 2 | 2,200 | Yellow Star Johnson Grass | Ground |
| 1 | 415 | Morning Glory | Ground |
| Mixed | 6,000 | Morning Glory Yellow Star Johnson Grass Bermuda Grass Russian Knapweed | Dehydrated and Ground |

Seed Certification The purpose of seed certification is to maintain and make available to the public, high quality seed and propagate materials of superior crop plant varieties so grown and distributed as to insure genetic identity and purity. Only those varieties that contain superior germ plasm are eligible for certification.

This office has complete authority to safeguard by suitable measures, the identity of seed intended for certification.

To insure proper identity, this office inspected harvesters wherever necessary for the presence of any foreign seed; also all processing equipment must be cleaned thoroughly, to avoid contamination of the certified seed, and approved by this office before cleaning operations on certified seed starts.

Wherever a request is made to move seed, subject to certification prior to final tagging, this office issues an intercounty permit with the necessary information to the commissioner at destination. This county also requires a permit whenever seed, subject to certification, arrives into this county.

After a lot has met all preliminary requirements, a sample is drawn in the same manner as an official sample is drawn, with one sealed portion going to the California Crop Improvement Association and one sample is retained by this office. Upon notification from the California Crop Improvement Association that the lot has met the requirements of certified seed, the lot is tagged and sealed under the supervision of this office.

These tags and seals are furnished by the Crop Improvement Association.

Many lots of certified seed grown last summer have not been processed, therefore, the 152 samples drawn during the season are less than the previous year. However, the 124,261 sacks of clover, sedan grass, barley and wheat represent the largest turnover this county has recorded.

APIARY INSPECTION

The purpose of bee inspection is to prevent the introduction and spread, within the county, of diseases injurious to bees, maintain a registration list of apiaries, issue certificates of inspection, and properly dispose of all American Foulbrood colonies. This year, through the cooperation of the State Department of Agriculture, a Deputy State Bee Inspector was assigned to this area for two months. This Deputy worked with all District Inspectors checking colonies in the various districts. Below shows a report disclosing the amount of work done in this field:

| Type of Work | Number of Apiaries | Number of Colonies |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Registered | 16 | 695 |
| Entering California | 2 | 340 |
| Leaving California | 1 | 210 |
| Entering County | 29 | 2,046 |
| Leaving County | 17 | 709 |
| Moving within County | 22 | 1,537 |
| Inspected | 92 | 2,542 |
| Infected with American Foulbrood | 4 | 12 |
| Infected with European Foulbrood | 9 | 59 |
| Burned for American Foulbrood | 4 | 12 |

FAIRS AND EXHIBITS

One of the most agreeable and pleasant duties of this department is connected with the preparation of fairs and exhibits. There, the agricultural diversification of San Joaquin County is readily exemplified with many types and varieties of crops entered for display. Through the 100 golden years of Statehood, San Joaquin County has indeed become the bread basket of California.

At the California State Fair The theme of the State's admission to the Union was portrayed by Uncle Sam congratulating the California Bear sewing the 31st star on the Flag of the Union. As a background to the display of rich, bountiful agricultural products, stood a large open book of California history. The high standards of quality were reflected by the numerous ribbons, trophies and sweepstakes won. San Joaquin County was awarded first prize for the best and most complete exhibit of agricultural and horticultural products of any one county artistically arranged. Other awards to San Joaquin County for products shown were as follows: 104 first prize ribbons, 82 second prize ribbons and 46 third prize ribbons. San Joaquin County wines were awarded the following prizes: 3 first awards, 6 second awards, 2 third awards and 2 gold medals, and 6 silver medals and 5 bronze medals as well as 8 honorable mentions. This gave a grand total of 229 ribbons, 12 first sweepstakes, 3 second sweepstake ribbons and 1 third sweepstake ribbon. This is a record for winnings not equalled by any other county at the State Fair.

At the San Joaquin County Fair Competition at the County Fair between twelve district entries was greater than ever. Each displayed a theme based upon California's admission to the Union or honoring the 100 years of statehood. Competition was particularly keen between districts on their entries of crop produce. Awards at the County Fair were as follows: In the Community Display, Section I, the Linden exhibit was awarded first prize. Escalon followed in second place; Tracy, in third place; Thornton, fourth place, and Lodi, fifth. In the Community Display, Section II, Farmington was awarded first prize, followed by Stockton in second place and Lockeford in third place. In the Feature Display, French Camp took first prize; Ripon, second; Delta, third; and Manteca, fourth.

COOPERATION WITH BUREAU OF MARKET ENFORCEMENT
AND BUREAU OF MILK CONTROL

Unveiling the statistics on money recoveries and money adjustments shows that many farmers in this county took advantage of the services extended by these State Bureaus. Through investigations, hearings, and procedures set forth under the Produce Dealers Act, the Processor's Law and Milk Control Laws resulted in a net remittance of \$182,385.16 to growers of this county.

Whenever controversies arise between growers and dealers or processors, the County Agricultural Commissioner's Office extends every possible effort to aid the Bureau of Market Enforcement by collecting necessary evidence concerning these cases. With this evidence it is possible to offer a thorough presentation of facts on both sides, which will result in a fair readjustment to all concerned. Many of these complaints are first received at this office and then all details concerning the complaint are transmitted to the Bureau.

All buyers of farm commodities must be licensed by the Bureau of Market Enforcement. This applies to cash buyers as well as others. The County Department assists the Bureau in seeing that all these buyers are properly licensed, and also maintains a special office in the Agricultural Building for State Officials for the purpose of holding hearings or any other activity which requires office space.

The following amounts were recovered:

| | Number of Participants | Amount Received |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Produce Dealers | 93 | \$ 21,067.60 |
| Processors | 116 | 137,474.89 |
| Milk Recoveries | 19 | 23,842.67 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total | 228 | \$182,385.16 |

MISCELLANEOUS DEPARTMENTAL DUTIES

There are a number of activities carried out by members of this Department as supplemental to our regular duties. These activities are designed to facilitate the operations of this department and extend to the farmer a more complete service.

Identification of Insects, Diseases, and Plants The proper identification of insects, plant diseases or plants is often vital in the performance of many duties. Quarantine and Nursery Inspection, Field and Orchard Inspection, Plant Pest Control, and Weed Control are all directly concerned. In case positive identification cannot be made, or it is desirable to obtain verification, then specimens are submitted to either State Department of Agriculture Insect Taxonomists, Plant Pathologists or Plant Taxonomists respectively.

Farm Meetings A closer observance of farmers' needs has been carried out in the various districts in the County by personnel of this Department who attend farm meetings. In this manner, any matters pertaining to this Department may be discussed on the spot by a representative of this Department. This also gives our Department an opportunity to carry out an educational program in any pest-control work sponsored by this office.

Photographic Work A convenient method of recording agricultural information concerning this county has been through the use of photographs. These pictures are taken by members of this Department and developed in our own dark room, which has proven to keep costs to a minimum. This year, 576 black and white and 480 color slides were produced by this Department. One of the most important values of these pictures is in their use for visual education at farm group meetings.

Salinity Test In many places in the Delta area, the salinity of water tends to become concentrated. Since this area relies on this water for irrigation of crops, it is of vital importance that farmers keep a close tab upon the salinity of the water. Consequently, a number of farmers brought in samples of water to have it tested for salt concentration. Whenever samples were submitted for examination, tests were run in our laboratory.

Soil Tests The causation of subnormal plant growth or the death of a plant is not always apparent. When insect or plant diseases are not evident, the trouble may be found in the soil. Inspectors, confronted with such problems, often resort to a laboratory analysis of the soil, performed at this office, for a satisfactory answer. Many times alkali soil has been found responsible for the adverse plant growing conditions, or a surplus salt concentration is the offending material. At other times, a deficiency in a vital food material is responsible. This information is of vital help to inspectors in making recommendations for correcting the trouble.

Special Agricultural Reports Throughout the year, numerous requests are received by this Department for statistical information on various crops grown in this County. These requests may include one crop or a number of different crops. This, in turn, may be for only a given section of the County. Since farmers and a host of agencies connected with the handling and processing of farm commodities are vitally interested in the production fluctuations of various crops, statistical information is of prime importance in planning for the future.

Spraying of County Shade Trees This year a number of county sycamore trees were sprayed by this department for sycamore scale. A total of 124 sycamore trees were treated, using 800 gallons of spray mix.

Shop Work Throughout the year there has been continuous activity in the department's shop. Here the repair and maintenance of spray rigs used in connection with the county's special weed control program is carried out. Also new equipment is assembled for this specialized type of work in the shop. Also in the shop, fair exhibits are designed and constructed. All of the mechanical and electrical devices required in running the moving objects are assembled in the shop. Since most of the parts that make up the construction of many of the exhibits are not available through commercial channels, it becomes the responsibility of the shop personnel to plan and build the necessary parts.

Staff Meetings Periodically throughout the year, meetings are held by members of the department. These meetings are convened to discuss problems of the department with reference to standardized methods of inspection and changes in the laws. Also, reports are given by inspectors of activities in their respective districts. These meetings have been of vital importance in dissemination of information of departmental policies and county activities.

Weather Reports During the year, weather reports on crop growing conditions in the county are filed with the United States Weather Bureau. These reports are submitted each week in the summer and once each month during the winter.

FINANCIAL REPORT SUMMARY
 FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1950
 AGRICULTURAL DEPARTMENT & SPECIAL WEED CONTROL

CLASSIFICATION

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Administrative | \$ 16,897.90 |
| Plant Quarantine, Seed and Nursery Inspection | 13,602.61 |
| Fruit, Nut, Vegetable, Honey, and Egg Standardization | 14,730.38 |
| Field and Orchard Inspection | 14,997.52 |
| Apiary Inspection | 656.03 |
| Rodent Control | 11,401.84 |
| Weed Control | 12,783.19 |
| Crop Statistics | 9,167.66 |
| Office Personnel | 5,364.41 |
| Fairs and Exhibits | 5,833.70 |
| Maintenance and Operation | 5,920.64 |
| General | <u>3,447.00</u> |
| | \$ 114,802.88 |

SPECIAL WEED CONTROL

| | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Salaries and Wages | \$ 31,105.04 |
| Maintenance and Operation | 17,213.88 |
| Capital Outlay | <u>647.85</u> |
| | \$ <u>48,966.77</u> |

GRAND TOTAL EXPENSES \$ 163,769.65

COLLECTIONS REMITTED TO COUNTY TREASURER \$ 13,365.91

CROP SUMMARY
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
YEAR - 1950

Since climatic conditions are one of the all important factors in the growth progress of agricultural crops, a more comprehensive understanding of crop developments may be obtained by a review of the weather conditions of the year. As there are decided fluctuations in temperature, humidity and rain fall in various sections of the state at a given time, the same is true within the boundaries of San Joaquin County. Thus, only general trends in the growth progress of any given crop may be stated within the scope of this report.

Reviewing briefly the year's weather fluctuations and results on crops in a chronological order, the first month of 1950 represented a month of numerous showers, which tended to stimulate the growth of winter grains, vegetables and grasses. However, the continuous low temperature did not permit these crops to develop at a normal rate. Although cold weather predominated throughout the winter and spring, there were intermittent warm periods that extensively aided in a close to normal development of all crops.

An interesting phenomenon occurred in February with prolonged warm weather the latter half of the month. The unusually high temperatures not only stimulated the growth of grains and range grasses, but caused early varieties of almonds and apricots to come into full bloom.

During the first half of March, the mercury dropped, which resulted in spotted frost damage, particularly to cherries, peaches, plums, almonds, and apricots. Some tomatoes in hot beds were lost and had to be replanted. There was some damage to young grain, sugar beets and asparagus.

The month of April represented a month of favorable growing conditions for crops in general.

The first week of May, a reversal in weather conditions occurred with low temperatures climaxed by a light frost, located for the most part in the Delta area. In addition, strong winds prevailed this first week. During this period asparagus production was curtailed extensively.

Cool weather again prevailed the first two weeks of June. The last two weeks were favorable for the development of most crops except on the last three days on which abnormally high temperatures of 100° to 109° occurred; however, a complete survey of the county's crops showed very little loss.

The exceptionally warm weather extended through the first week of July, resulting in some sunburn in tomatoes, walnuts and grapes.

August weather was cool the first week and retarded plant growth. However, the weather turned very warm the last two weeks and extended into September. Strong winds on August 4th caused dropping of some peaches and scarring of other fruit. Sunburn was showing more on grapes, walnuts and tomatoes resulting from the exceptionally high temperature.

On September 11th and 12th the first fall rains occurred with some damage to crops. Mold appeared in tomatoes and the quality of alfalfa lowered. However, weather improved for the remainder of the month and the majority of the tomato growers were able to harvest their crop. The rains near the end of October concluded the harvest season. Some farm land suffered from floods at this time.

The continuation of heavy rains the first part of December resulted in serious flood damage. The area covered by the floods was 67 square miles. At this time, it was physically impossible to make a correct estimate of the amount of damage to agriculture caused by the flood; however, preliminary survey on December 31, 1950 disclosed approximately a \$2,000,000.00 loss. By the end of the year, those crops that were not completely harvested were sugar beets, rice, potatoes and corn.

The following is a report covering a general summary of the important crops in San Joaquin County:

Fruit and Nut Crops

Almonds The size and quality of the almond crop was good this year. The color of meats and shells was also good with the exceptions of those that were not harvested when it rained in September. The crop was very spotted where no frost control was used. Production dropped 50% in some areas; however, the total production dropped was 926 tons, or approximately 20% overall production decrease.

Apricots Although the size of fruit was normal, the quality was slightly lower than last year. Pit burn resulted from the heat spell early in the year, causing some decrease in quality. Shot hole fungus and brown rot was at a minimum.

Cherries Most notable on the cherry crop was the sharp drop in production of 50% as compared with the previous years. However, size and quality of fruit was good. Growers also enjoyed better prices this year. The shipping season started on April 25th and ended June 16th. During this time, 466 cars were shipped to eastern markets.

Chestnuts The yield for chestnuts was about average, or the same as last year. However, mostly due to the importation of foreign chestnuts to eastern markets, local growers experienced a decided drop of \$50.00 per ton under the previous season.

Figs This year there was a smaller tonnage harvested. This reduction in tonnage was due to unduly warm weather during the growing season.

Grapes (Table) The quality of table grapes was fair this season. Due to rain, there was an increase of approximately 37,000 tons to the wineries, with a corresponding drop in shipping grapes. Upon the completion of a new survey by vine to vine count of permanent county crops, tokay grapes showed an acreage increase of 2,500.

Grapes (Juice) This year, due to rains, the quality of juice grapes declined from the previous year, and sugar content was only fair. Rains came before growers had the opportunity to complete their harvest; consequently, undesirable qualities developed in market grapes. Growers, as a whole, experienced a good year.

Olives The yield was double over the previous year. About 80% of the crop went to canneries and the other 20% was used for oil.

Peaches (Freestone) Growers enjoyed good quality and higher prices over the previous year. A larger tonnage went to processors and less went as fresh shipments.

Peaches (Cling) Cling peaches, in a like manner, were of good quality and brown rot and mildew were at a minimum. This year 15% of the green fruit was dropped, as required under the Tree Fruit Agreement. Growers enjoyed higher prices this year; however, the tonnage to processors was lower.

Pears Most of the pear crop went to canners this year. The price was somewhat better than the previous year.

Plums Growers of this crop had a good year both in yield and price. The price was more than double over the year before.

Walnuts Quality and size as a whole were good. Virtually no blight appeared and worm damage was at a minimum. Early varieties were better in quality than late varieties. Tonnage was down slightly under the previous year, but the price was up slightly. Through the completion of a new survey of permanent crops by tree to tree count, walnut acreage increased by 2,000 acres.

Field Crops

Alfalfa The yield was good this year with an average of five cuttings for the season. The quality of the 3rd cutting was below par and the last cutting suffered some from rain. Worm damage was spotted. The acreage for the county increased by 6,730 acres; however, prices lowered to \$18.00 per ton.

Beans The acreage allotment program was the main factor in reducing the bean crop in 1950, accounting for reduced plantings in all varieties except blackeyes and seed beans which were not under acreage allotments. This year, yields were slightly lower. Heavy rains and continued wet weather in November reduced the quality of late thrashed beans. Some acreage was lost entirely.

Field Corn The yield per acre decreased and the quality lowered due to fall rains. Growers experienced some difficulties in harvesting. At the end of the season, the price was on the upward trend. The acreage decreased 689 acres under the previous year.

Grain Growers had a good season in all respects. The ideal weather for grain helped to produce a splendid crop. Barley acreage increased by 6,416 acres. In wheat, there was a slight increase in acreage and yield.

Hay This crop continues to drop in acreage. Prices also were lower this year. The yield was better than the year before.

Ladino Pasture The rapid development and interest in ladino pasture has been extraordinary in this county. This year alone, there was an increase of 10,727 acres, which boosted the county's total acreage up to 67,831 acres.

Potatoes The harvested acreage of market potatoes is 4,465 acres or 820 acres under last year; however, 592 acres are still to be harvested. There is also about 100 acres of seed potatoes in the ground. The average was up 16 sacks over the 300 average of last year. Prices were down and the market weak for the season.

Sugar Beets Most notable for this crop were the difficulties experienced by growers in harvesting. The abundant rainfall of November and December, in a number of cases, stopped the harvest completely. Up to January 1, 1951 there were still 4,000 acres not harvested. This acreage will be accredited to the 1951 season. The harvested acreage is 13,128 acres. This gives a 1950 acreage grown in the county of 17,128 acres or 6,473 acres over 1949.

Sugar beet leafhoppers were more numerous than usual; thus, the virus disease curley top was more prevalent. The sugar content is about the same to slightly lower this season.

Sunflowers There was an increase of 200 acres in the county; however, the yield per acre was lower. Rains and worm damage were factors in lower yields. The recleaning was more difficult, due to the worm damage.

Sweet Potatoes The quality was fair this year; however, it was not as good as the previous year. Yields varied from place to place. The average yield was better than the year before. Market conditions, weak to poor, except near the end of harvest season.

Vegetable Crops

Asparagus Once again growers experienced a very good season. Production was up, with a very strong demand for fresh "Grass". The price was greater than last year. Quality for the season was good; however, intermediate cold periods did slow up production at times. Asparagus acreage increased by 3,186 acres over the 51,836 of last year.

Carrots The acreage stayed the same; however, yield increased some. About two-thirds of this good carrot crop went to canneries.

Celery Most notable was the new low in celery acreage for the last 20 years. Celery acreage fell 809 acres under the 1949 acreage of 4,188 acres.

Melons The most unusual fact was the very long season, which resulted in a very high tonnage per acre. The county melon acreage was greater this year. Growers also enjoyed a good market. Prices varied with the varieties of melons. More mosaic (rind rot) showed up this year.

Onions The acreage increased 479 acres over the previous year of 2,876 acres. Yield was up and quality was good. The crop graded to about 35% jumbos and 65% mediums. Unfortunately, the market was very poor all season.

Peas The acreage increased 408 acres over the 857 acres of last year; however, the yield per acre decreased. Prices remained about the same. Growers were not troubled with aphids this year.

Spinach The yield decreased some this year, with most of the crop going to processors. There was an acreage increase of 125 acres.

Strawberries The county acreage dropped 80 acres under the 275 acres of 1949. However, there was an increased yield per acre and better prices. Heavy shipments went to the freezers.

Tomatoes The round tomato acreage increased by 1,618 acres; however, the pear tomato acreage decreased by 1,080 acres. There was more shipping of tomatoes this year and the average price per box increased 30 cents over last year. The yield for canning round tomatoes harvested, dropped $1\frac{1}{2}$ tons under the previous year. The rains of October 23rd to October 26th together with the late ripening of fruit in many fields, coupled with the labor strike and lack of pickers was the main factor for the reduction in yield.

FRUIT AND NUT CROPS
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
YEAR - 1950

| CROP | BEARING ACREAGE | PRODUCTION | | | F.O.B. VALUE | |
|----------------------|--------------------|------------|-----------|-------|--------------|--------------|
| | | PER ACRE | TOTAL | UNIT | PER UNIT | TOTAL |
| Almonds | 8,225 | .55 | 4,524 | Ton | \$520.00 | \$2,352,480 |
| (Shipping) | | | | 28-lb | | |
| Apricots (Processed) | 1,081 | 12.90 | 13,945 | pkge. | 1.35 | 18,826 |
| (Dried) | | 3.49 | 3,773 | Ton | 60.00 | 266,380 |
| | | .15 | 162 | Ton | 440.00 | 71,280 |
| Cherries (Royal Ann) | 1,019 | 2.72 | 2,772 | Ton | 220.00 | 609,940 |
| Other (Shipping) | 2,508 | 2.08 | 5,217 | Ton | 485.45 | 2,532,593 |
| Cherries (Processed) | | .39 | 978 | Ton | 220.00 | 215,160 |
| Chestnuts | 130 | 1.50 | 195 | Ton | 250.00 | 48,750 |
| (Shipping) | | .04 | 16 | Ton | 145.00 | 2,320 |
| Figs (Processed) | 406 | 1.16 | 471 | Ton | 130.00 | 61,230 |
| (Dried) | | | 32 | Ton | 340.00 | 10,880 |
| Juice (Shipping) | 32,878 | .76 | 24,987 | Ton | 116.00 | 2,898,492 |
| Grapes (Wine) | | 2.56 | 84,168 | Ton | 61.00 | 5,134,248 |
| | | | | 28-lb | | |
| Tokay (Shipping) | 22,530 | 151.97 | 3,423,884 | Pkge. | 1.95 | 6,676,574 |
| Grapes (Wine) | | 4.76 | 107,243 | Ton | 50.50 | 5,415,771 |
| | | | | 28-lb | | |
| All Other (Shipping) | 1,812 | 33.38 | 60,485 | Pkge. | 1.87 | 113,107 |
| Grapes (Wine) | | 4.43 | 8,027 | Ton | 56.00 | 449,512 |
| Mis'l. Orchards | 106 | | | Acre | 200.00 | 21,200 |
| | | | | 28-lb | | |
| Nectarines | 83 | 301.00 | 24,983 | Pkge. | 2.05 | 51,215 |
| Olives | 353 | 1.50 | 529 | Ton | 200.00 | 105,800 |
| | | | | 20-lb | | |
| Peaches (Shipping) | | 193.10 | 407,634 | Crate | 1.10 | 448,397 |
| Free (Processed) | 2,111 | 3.94 | 8,317 | Ton | 60.00 | 499,020 |
| (Dried) | | .25 | 528 | Ton | 440.00 | 232,320 |
| Peaches (Processed) | 5,519 | 8.87 | 48,953 | Ton | 60.00 | 2,937,180 |
| Cling (Dried) | | | 17 | Ton | 300.00 | 5,100 |
| Pears (Shipping) | 90 | .59 | 53 | Ton | 75.00 | 3,975 |
| (Processed) | | 6.54 | 588 | Ton | 75.00 | 44,100 |
| | | | | 28-lb | | |
| Plums (Shipping) | 1,091 | 191.44 | 208,861 | Crate | 3.00 | 626,583 |
| (Processed) | | .07 | 76 | Ton | 35.00 | 2,660 |
| | | | | 28-lb | | |
| Prunes (Shipping) | 101 | 385.74 | 38,960 | Crate | 3.00 | 116,880 |
| (Dried) | | .95 | 96 | Ton | 245.00 | 23,520 |
| Walnuts | 11,707 | .68 | 7,961 | Ton | 410.00 | 3,264,010 |
| | | | | TOTAL | | \$35,250,403 |

FIELD CROPS
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
YEAR - 1950

| CROPS | BEARING ACREAGE | PRODUCTION | | | F.O.B. VALUE | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|------------|-----------|--------|--------------|--------------|
| | | PER ACRE | TOTAL | UNIT | PER UNIT | TOTAL |
| Alfalfa Hay | 65,655 | 6.30 | 413,626 | Ton | \$ 18.00 | \$7,445,268 |
| Barley | 97,382 | 19.50 | 1,898,949 | Cwt. | 2.25 | 4,272,635 |
| Beans, Dry | 12,685 | 15.02 | 190,529 | Cwt. | 8.41 | 1,602,349 |
| Corn, Grain | 9,046 | 1.10 | 9,951 | Ton | 60.00 | 597,060 |
| Corn, Husks | | | 110 | Ton | 600.00 | 66,000 |
| Grain, Sorghum | 3,144 | 17.00 | 53,448 | Cwt. | 2.40 | 128,275 |
| Hay, Grain | 8,159 | 1.60 | 13,054 | Ton | 17.50 | 288,445 |
| Hay, Wild | 7,093 | 1.25 | 8,866 | Ton | 16.00 | 141,856 |
| Oats | 12,469 | 10.00 | 124,690 | Cwt. | 2.35 | 293,022 |
| (Range) | 212,805 | | | Acre | 2.00 | 425,610 |
| (Clover) | 67,831 | | | Acre | 45.00 | 3,052,395 |
| Pasture (Sudan Grass) | 938 | | | Acre | 35.00 | 32,830 |
| (Stubble) | 126,264 | | | Acre | 1.25 | 157,830 |
| Potatoes ** | 4,465 | 316.73 | 1,414,199 | Cwt. | 1.85 | 2,616,268 |
| (Canning) | | 7.00 | 2,107 | Ton | 7.00 | 14,749 |
| Pumpkins (Stock) | 301 | 16.00 | 4,816 | Ton | 3.00 | 14,448 |
| Rice ** | 6,240 | 35.00 | 218,400 | Cwt. | 4.40 | 960,960 |
| Safflower | 416 | 1,018.00 | 423,488 | Lb. | .035 | 14,822 |
| Silage, Corn | 640 | 15.00 | 9,600 | Ton | 5.00 | 48,000 |
| Sugar Beets * ** | 13,128 | 17.40 | 228,427 | Ton | 12.00 | 2,741,124 |
| Sunflowers | 1,654 | 8.00 | 13,232 | Cwt. | 7.00 | 92,624 |
| Sweet Potatoes | 1,852 | 189.00 | 350,028 | Basket | 1.60 | 560,045 |
| Wheat | 13,319 | 9.50 | 126,530 | Cwt. | 3.30 | 417,549 |
| | | | | TOTAL | | \$25,924,164 |

* Includes Federal Subsidy
** Harvested Acreage only

VEGETABLE CROPS
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
YEAR - 1950

| CROPS | BEARING ACREAGE | PRODUCTION | | | F. O. B. VALUE | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|--------------|---------|
| | | PER ACRE | TOTAL | UNIT | PER UNIT | TOTAL | |
| Asparagus (Shipping) | 55,022 | 24.35 | 1,339,786 | 30 lb. Crate | \$ 3.70 | \$4,957,208 | |
| | | .79 | 43,467 | Ton | 206.00 | 8,953,802 | |
| Beets, Table | 38 | 15.00 | 570 | Ton | 30.00 | 17,100 | |
| Cabbage | 60 | 285.00 | 17,100 | Crate | 1.50 | 25,650 | |
| Cauliflower | 27 | 300.00 | 8,100 | Crate | 1.25 | 10,125 | |
| Carrots | 442 | 13.00 | 5,746 | Ton | 45.00 | 258,570 | |
| Celery | 3,379 | 324.00 | 1,094,796 | Crate | 2.80 | 3,065,429 | |
| Corn, Sweet | 442 | 185.00 | 81,770 | Crate | 1.65 | 134,920 | |
| Cucumbers | 116 | 5.20 | 603 | Ton | 42.90 | 25,869 | |
| Garlic | 17 | 75.00 | 1,275 | Cwt. | 9.00 | 11,475 | |
| Lettuce | 220 | 200.00 | 44,000 | Crate | 1.25 | 55,000 | |
| Melons | Cranshaws | 257 | 5.89 | 1,514 | Ton | 38.20 | 57,835 |
| | Cantaloupes | 490 | 178.00 | 87,220 | Crate | 1.85 | 161,357 |
| | Casabas | 437 | 5.85 | 2,556 | Ton | 23.50 | 60,066 |
| | Honeydews | 220 | 7.25 | 1,595 | Ton | 22.50 | 35,887 |
| | Persians | 40 | 7.25 | 290 | Ton | 26.00 | 7,540 |
| | Watermelons | 1,915 | 16.25 | 31,119 | Ton | 19.60 | 609,932 |
| Onions (Early) | 2,247 | 510.00 | 1,145,970 | 50 lb | .75 | 859,477 | |
| | | 560.00 | 619,360 | Sack | .65 | 402,584 | |
| Peas (Shipping) | 210 | 74.00 | 15,540 | Tub | 1.45 | 22,533 | |
| | | 1.80 | 1,899 | Ton | 60.00 | 113,940 | |
| Peppers | 133 | 12.00 | 1,596 | Ton | 40.00 | 63,840 | |
| Spinach | 805 | 3.80 | 3,059 | Ton | 22.50 | 68,827 | |
| Squash | 305 | 10.00 | 3,050 | Ton | 18.00 | 54,900 | |
| Strawberries | 197 | 1155.00 | 227,535 | 12 | 2.65 | 602,968 | |
| | | | | Basket | | | |
| Tomatoes (Shipping) | 21,382 | 63.08 | 1,348,777 | 32lb lug | 2.40 | 3,237,065 | |
| | | 13.82 | 295,499 | Ton | 22.50 | 6,648,727 | |
| | | 11.30 | 21,165 | Ton | 27.50 | 582,037 | |
| Truck Garden | 1,032 | | | Acre | 200.00 | 206,400 | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | \$31,311,063 | |

SEED CROPS
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
YEAR - 1950

| CROP | BEARING ACREAGE | PRODUCTION | | F.O.B. VALUE | |
|--|--------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| | | PER ACRE | TOTAL UNIT | PER UNIT | TOTAL |
| Alfalfa Seed | 563 | 425.00 | 239,275 Lb. | \$.19 | \$ 45,462 |
| Asparagus Roots | 105 | | Acre | 400.00 | 42,000 |
| Beans (Black Eyes) (Certified) | 63 | 5.00 | 315 Cwt. | 15.00 | 4,725 |
| Beans (Cranberry) (Certified) | 35 | 6.00 | 210 Cwt. | 10.50 | 2,205 |
| Beans (Dark Red Kidney) (Certified) | 102 | 15.00 | 1,530 Cwt. | 15.00 | 22,950 |
| Beans (Red Kidney) (Certified) | 3,944 | 16.45 | 64,879 Cwt. | 15.50 | 1,005,624 |
| Ladino Clover Seed | 2,619 | 160.00 | 419,040 Lb. | 1.20 | 502,848 |
| Lettuce Seed | 10 | 94.00 | 940 Lb. | 1.00 | 940 |
| Nursery (Grape Vines) | | | | | 7,000 |
| Nursery (Others) | | | | | 137,800 |
| Nursery (Trees) | | | | | 103,000 |
| Onion Seed | 18 | 335.00 | 6,030 Lb. | 1.00 | 6,030 |
| Potatoes (Certified) | 806 | 209.00 | 168,454 Cwt. | 2.60 | 437,980 |
| Sudan Grass Seed | 170 | 8.00 | 1,360 Cwt. | 5.25 | 7,140 |
| Turnip Seed | 20 | 800.00 | 16,000 Lb. | .11 | 1,760 |
| | | | TOTAL | | \$2,327,464 |

PERMANENT CROPS IN SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
YEAR - 1950

| CROP VARIETY | NON BEARING | | CROP & VARIETY | NON BEARING | |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| | ACREAGE | ACREAGE | | ACREAGE | ACREAGE |
| ALMONDS | | | GRAPES (Raisin) | | |
| Drake | 8 | 355 | Muscat | 12 | 189 |
| Eureka | 0 | 1 | Thompson Seedless | 18 | 649 |
| I X L | 0 | 117 | Zante Currants | 0 | 8 |
| Jordanolo | 300 | 455 | Total | 30 | 846 |
| Mission | 585 | 2,992 | GRAPES (Table) | | |
| Ne Plus Ultra | 61 | 526 | Cardinal | 26 | 0 |
| Non Pareil * | 812 | 3,456 | Concord | 0 | 6 |
| Peerless | 106 | 282 | Emperor | 0 | 213 |
| Other | 6 | 41 | Malaga | 0 | 109 |
| Total | 1,878 | 8,225 | Ribier | 0 | 150 |
| APPLES | | | Tokay * | 224 | 22,530 |
| White Astracaan | 0 | 10 | Other | 1 | 488 |
| Golden Delicious | 0 | 1 | Total | 251 | 23,496 |
| Other | 0 | 1 | GRAPES (Wine) | | |
| Total | 0 | 12 | Alicantes * | 11 | 5,304 |
| APRICOTS | | | Burger | 13 | 924 |
| Elenhein & Royal * | 68 | 582 | Carignane | 128 | 7,778 |
| Moor Park & Hemskirk | 0 | 8 | Colombar | 0 | 30 |
| Tilttons * | 27 | 490 | G. Reising | 0 | 10 |
| Other | 0 | 1 | Golden Chasselas | 0 | 80 |
| Total | 95 | 1,081 | Grenache | 46 | 937 |
| CHEERRIES | | | Matero | 0 | 19 |
| Bing | 308 | 1,418 | Mission | 80 | 1,774 |
| Black Republican | 1 | 26 | Palomino | 15 | 1,143 |
| Chapman | 19 | 147 | Petite Sirah | 0 | 397 |
| Lambert | 21 | 259 | Sauvignon Blanc | 0 | 23 |
| Royal Ann | 221 | 1,019 | Zinfandel * | 76 | 13,690 |
| Tartarian | 52 | 583 | Other white | 0 | 153 |
| Other | 12 | 75 | Other dark | 74 | 616 |
| Total | 634 | 3,527 | Total | 443 | 32,878 |
| CHESTNUTS (All) | | | NECTARINES (All) * | | |
| | 6 | 130 | | 5 | 83 |
| FIGS | | | OLIVES | | |
| Black | 0 | 31 | Ascolano | 5 | 69 |
| Kadota | 4 | 375 | Manzanillo | 54 | 76 |
| Total | 4 | 406 | Mission | 26 | 192 |
| FILBERTS (All) | | | Others | 0 | 16 |
| | 0 | 1 | Total | 85 | 353 |

* Large acreage changes due to complete new survey

| CROP & VARIETY | NON BEARING | | CROP & VARIETY | NON BEARING | |
|------------------|-------------|------------|------------------|-------------|------------|
| | ACREAGE | ACREAGE | | ACREAGE | ACREAGE |
| PEACHES (Cling) | | | PLUMS | | |
| Andora | 25 | 101 | Beauty | 0 | 3 |
| Carolyn | 33 | 38 | Burbank | 0 | 10 |
| Cortez | 64 | 15 | Climax | 0 | 8 |
| Fortuna | 52 | 118 | Duarte | 17 | 95 |
| Gaume | 52 | 1,061 | Grand Duke | 0 | 9 |
| Gomes (Stuart) | 107 | 386 | Kelsey | 0 | 11 |
| Halford | 76 | 1,307 | President | 2 | 118 |
| Johnson | 0 | 126 | Santa Rosa | 20 | 258 |
| Libbee | 0 | 50 | Tragedy | 7 | 231 |
| Paloro | 114 | 1,067 | Wickson | 0 | 4 |
| Peak | 4 | 216 | Others | <u>59</u> | <u>344</u> |
| Phillips | 13 | 486 | | | |
| Sims | 0 | 68 | Total | 105 | 1,091 |
| Tuscan | 0 | 14 | | | |
| Walton | 0 | 65 | PRUNES | | |
| Other | <u>79</u> | <u>401</u> | French * | 0 | 41 |
| Total | 619 | 5,519 | Imperial | 0 | 2 |
| | | | Robe De Sergeant | 0 | 9 |
| | | | Sugar * | <u>1</u> | <u>49</u> |
| PEACHES (Free) | | | Total | 1 | 101 |
| Babcock | 1 | 4 | QUINCES (All) | | |
| Crawford | 0 | 3 | | 0 | 11 |
| Early Elberta | 7 | 21 | WALNUTS | | |
| Elberta | 153 | 855 | Concord | 3 | 47 |
| J. H. Hale | 40 | 145 | Eureka * | 201 | 2,843 |
| Lovell * | 3 | 298 | Franquette * | 365 | 3,064 |
| Muir | 0 | 170 | Hartley | 468 | 148 |
| Salway | 1 | 23 | Mayette | 26 | 722 |
| Other * | <u>119</u> | <u>592</u> | Payne | 206 | 4,583 |
| Total | 324 | 2,111 | Placentia | 0 | 87 |
| | | | Other | 69 | 157 |
| PEARS | | | Seedling | <u>98</u> | <u>56</u> |
| Bartlett | 1 | 85 | Total | 1,436 | 11,707 |
| Beurre Hardy | <u>0</u> | <u>5</u> | | | |
| Total | 1 | 90 | BLACK WALNUTS * | | |
| | | | | 584 | 74 |
| PERSIMMONS (All) | | | ASPARAGUS | | |
| | 0 | 8 | | 2,502 | 55,022 |

* Large acreage changes due to complete new survey.

THE TREND OF FRUIT & NUT CROPS IN SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
AT FIVE YEAR INTERVALS

BEARING ACREAGE

| CROP | YEAR 1930 | YEAR 1935 | YEAR 1940 | YEAR 1945 | YEAR 1950 |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Almonds | 2,697 | 3,613 | 4,221 | 6,502 | 8,225 |
| Apples | 36 | 28 | 32 | 36 | 12 |
| Apricots | 1,422 | 1,732 | 1,621 | 1,876 | 1,081 |
| Cherries | 1,942 | 4,417 | 4,352 | 4,102 | 3,527 |
| Chestnuts | 60 | 193 | 245 | 182 | 130 |
| Figs | 2,088 | 547 | 458 | 510 | 406 |
| Grapes, Juice | 32,600 | 33,932 | 33,893 | 32,400 | 32,878 |
| Grapes, Raisin | 852 | 702 | 979 | 1,003 | 846 |
| Grapes, Table | 2,064 | 1,707 | 1,499 | 1,276 | 966 |
| Grapes, Tokay | 17,041 | 17,255 | 17,925 | 18,110 | 22,530 |
| Nectarines | 52 | 115 | 126 | 195 | 83 |
| Olives | 286 | 318 | 364 | 351 | 353 |
| Peaches, Cling | 3,102 | 3,413 | 3,273 | 4,124 | 5,519 |
| Peaches, Free | 2,640 | 2,802 | 2,781 | 3,181 | 2,111 |
| Pears | 837 | 672 | 285 | 141 | 90 |
| Persimmons | 2 | 7 | 5 | 13 | 1 |
| Plums | 2,077 | 2,426 | 1,572 | 1,280 | 1,091 |
| Prunes | 543 | 655 | 1,244 | 822 | 101 |
| Walnuts | 5,284 | 8,818 | 9,084 | 9,229 | 11,707 |

THE TREND OF FIELD CROPS IN SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
AT FIVE YEAR INTERVALS

| CROP | YEAR 1935 | YEAR 1940 | YEAR 1945 | YEAR 1950 |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Alfalfa Hay | 38,633 | 47,822 | 50,505 | 65,655 |
| Barley | 137,725 | 92,483 | 91,199 | 97,382 |
| Beans (All) | 36,316 | 25,090 | 11,469 | 16,729 |
| Corn (Grain) | 27,650 | 16,583 | 14,564 | 9,046 |
| Flax Seed | 416 | 1,276 | 520 | 0 |
| Grain Sorghum | 11,832 | 14,057 | 4,187 | 3,144 |
| Hay (Grain) | 25,493 | 22,966 | 22,101 | 8,159 |
| Hay (Wild) | 2,817 | 10,839 | 24,573 | 7,093 |
| Oats | 16,611 | 10,043 | 7,480 | 12,469 |
| Pasture (Range) | 242,916 | 238,381 | 219,625 | 212,805 |
| Pasture (Ladino Clover) | 6,016 | 17,898 | 30,313 | 67,831 |
| Potatoes | 12,657 | 9,404 | 7,491 | 4,465 |
| Pumpkins | 425 | 540 | 617 | 301 |
| Rice | 1,640 | 2,507 | 3,168 | 6,240 |
| Silage Corn | 1,933 | 1,698 | 1,463 | 640 |
| Sugar Beets | 10,245 | 20,485 | 4,597 | 13,128 |
| Sunflowers | 3,523 | 3,182 | 3,175 | 1,654 |
| Sweet Potatoes | 818 | 2,186 | 1,330 | 1,852 |
| Wheat | 47,353 | 38,392 | 21,661 | 13,319 |

THE TREND OF VEGETABLE CROPS IN SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
AT FIVE YEAR INTERVALS

| CROP | YEAR 1935 | YEAR 1940 | YEAR 1945 | YEAR 1950 |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Asparagus | 15,931 | 31,499 | 43,681 | 55,022 |
| Beets (Table) | 30 | 22 | 63 | 38 |
| Broccoli | 12 | 125 | 10 | 50 |
| Cabbage | 30 | 11 | 26 | 60 |
| Cauliflower | 10 | 15 | 20 | 27 |
| Carrots | 308 | 786 | 1,386 | 442 |
| Celery | 6,401 | 5,885 | 5,482 | 3,379 |
| Corn (Sweet) | 541 | 345 | 432 | 442 |
| Garlic | 11 | 5 | 27 | 17 |
| Lettuce | 415 | 308 | 63 | 220 |
| Melons (All) | 2,900 | 3,161 | 1,907 | 3,359 |
| Onions | 1,968 | 1,280 | 2,464 | 3,353 |
| Peas | 1,958 | 2,310 | 5,365 | 1,265 |
| Pepper | 80 | 43 | 29 | 133 |
| Spinach | 1,656 | 534 | 1,365 | 805 |
| Squash | 461 | 320 | 351 | 305 |
| Strawberries | 120 | 156 | 15 | 197 |
| Tomatoes (Round) | 11,580 | 5,036 | 18,595 | 21,382 |
| Tomatoes (Pear) | | 10,557 | 7,507 | 1,873 |

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
YEAR - 1950

APIARY PRODUCTS

| | | | | | |
|---------------|----------------|---|------|--|-----------------|
| Honey | 867,700 lbs. | @ | .09 | | \$ 77,793.00 |
| Bees Wax | 4,460 lbs. | @ | .47 | | 2,096.00 |
| Queen Bees | 4,475 Queens | @ | .88 | | 3,938.00 |
| Pollenization | 4,062 Colonies | @ | 1.27 | | <u>5,159.00</u> |
| Total | | | | | \$ 88,986.00 |

DAIRY PRODUCTS

| | |
|------------------------|------------------|
| Milk and Milk Products | \$ 11,100,160.00 |
|------------------------|------------------|

LIVESTOCK

| | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Beef Cattle and Calves | \$ 12,810,677.00 | |
| Hogs | 2,397,926.00 | |
| Sheep and Wool | <u>2,677,375.00</u> | |
| Total | | \$ 17,885,978.00 |

POULTRY

| | | |
|----------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Chickens | \$ 952,404.00 | |
| Eggs | 1,784,802.00 | |
| Turkeys | <u>1,124,481.00</u> | |
| Total | | \$ 3,861,687.00 |

SUMMARY

| | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Fruit and Nut Crops | \$ 35,259,403.00 | |
| Field Crops | 25,924,164.00 | |
| Vegetable Crops | 31,311,063.00 | |
| Seed Crops | 2,327,464.00 | |
| Apiary Products | 88,986.00 | |
| Dairy Products | 11,100,160.00 | |
| Livestock | 17,885,978.00 | |
| Poultry Products | <u>3,861,687.00</u> | |
| Grand Total | | \$127,758,905.00 |

